

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	xii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiii
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xvi
<i>Table of cases (in chronological order)</i>	xviii
<i>Table of EU/EC/EEC legislation (in chronological order)</i>	xxiv
<i>Table of EU/EC policy documents (in chronological order)</i>	xxvi
Introduction	1
1. Copyright harmonization: The age of innocence?	1
2. The topicality of originality to EU copyright discourse	3
3. Scope and aim of this contribution	7
4. Methodology	8
1. The challenges of EU copyright: ‘United in Diversity’ – Does it work?	10
Section I	10
1. The early days of European integration: IP rights and the internal market	10
2. The emergence of copyright as a European issue	14
2.1 The 1988 Green Paper	15
3. Harmonization through the 1990s	16
4. Harmonization through the 2000s	20
5. Towards full copyright harmonization?	26
5.1 The legacy of the 2000s	27
6. The debate in 2010–2013: The internal market, the role of academia and EU legislation	28
6.1 The Monti Report	28
6.2 The Single Market Act	30
6.3 The 2011 Commission’s blueprint	32
6.4 Full harmonization is on its way (via licensing?)	35
7. Why the EU copyright is not just about copyright	39
Section II	43
1. The structural character of copyright harmonization	44

2.	The ambitiousness of the Commission's copyright agenda	46
3.	The achievements and merits of harmonization	49
2.	Originality as a policy tool: Shaping the breadth of protection	54
	Section I	54
1.	On creativity, authorship and originality	54
2.	Difficulties in defining originality	59
3.	Originality in EU reform policy: The invisible man	61
4.	The 'author's own intellectual creation' standard in the Software, Database and Term Directives	64
5.	Understanding originality: The continental approach	69
6.	Understanding originality: The UK approach	75
	Section II	80
1.	Following the originality brick road in the US	80
1.1	The 'originality' of <i>Feist</i>	80
1.2	Did <i>Feist</i> raise the bar of protection?	82
1.3	The unveiled story of originality	84
1.4	Originality as creativity	85
1.5	Originality as the market value of a work	85
2.	Originality in UK copyright: a tale of two cities	88
2.1	Originality over time: Hard times	90
2.2	UK and EU originality: Great expectations?	93
2.3	Traditionally intended originality: The old curiosity shop	95
	Conclusion	96
3.	Originality in a work, or a work of originality: The effects of the <i>Infopaq</i> decision	97
1.	Recalling the debate on copyright in 2010	97
2.	A different approach	97
3.	Precedent in the CJEU	100
4.	The <i>Infopaq</i> decision: a <i>coup de main</i> ?	102
4.1	Facts and questions referred to the CJEU	102
4.2	The InfoSoc Directive and a harmonized concept of originality	104
4.3	Substantial implications of the <i>Infopaq</i> decision	108
5.	Applying <i>Infopaq</i> : the originality requirement gets a new shape in UK courts	111
5.1	From a quantitative to a qualitative test	112
5.2	Proudman J's views in <i>Meltwater</i> do not melt away before the Court of Appeal	114
5.3	The importance of <i>Meltwater</i>	115

6.	The CJEU goes on: The <i>Bezpečnostní softwarová asociace</i> decision	119
6.1	Facts and questions referred to the CJEU	119
6.2	Originality under the InfoSoc Directive	120
6.3	A one-size-fits-all rule for originality and copyright assessment	123
7.	The <i>Football Dataco</i> reference	125
	Conclusion	127
4.	The CJEU goes ahead: The decisions in <i>Murphy</i> , <i>Painer</i> , <i>Football Dataco</i> and <i>SAS</i>	129
1.	<i>Murphy</i> : On TV decoders, football matches and the internal market	129
1.1	Background to the cases: The exclusive licence system	130
1.2	Background to the cases: The breach to market segmentation of licences	131
1.3	IP at the crossroads with treaty freedoms	133
1.4	Restrictions imposed by IPRs: Precondition is that the work is protectable	134
1.5	Is <i>Murphy</i> 's originality at odds with Berne?	137
1.6	What protection for sporting events then?	140
1.7	Is unfair competition the path to follow?	143
1.8	The responses of the High Court and Court of Appeal	146
2.	<i>Painer</i> : No photos deserve more protection than others	148
2.1	Facts and questions referred to the CJEU	148
2.2	The CJEU follows <i>Infopaq</i> and goes even further ...	151
2.3	... Clarifying the meaning of originality ...	153
2.4	... Playing a requiem for subject-matter categorization, and ...	154
2.5	Making it clear that copyright is not a story of the prince and the pauper	154
3.	<i>Football Dataco</i> : Farewell to the arms (of UK copyright)?	155
3.1	Background to the case	156
3.2	Copyright in databases: What type of originality? AG Mengozzi explains	161
3.3	An intellectual creation in not just labour and skill	162
3.4	There is little to do if copyright cannot do	164
3.5	The decision of the CJEU	164
3.5.1	The requirements for copyright protection under the Database Directive	165

3.5.2	Might there be other rights in databases?	167
3.6	The implications of the <i>Football Dataco</i> decision	168
3.7	Pre-emption and EU copyright: Is there any <i>marge de manœuvre</i> left for Member States?	172
4.	SAS: Shake-and-Strain the scope of copyright protection	174
4.1	Background to the case	175
4.2	The findings of the High Court and the reference to the CJEU	176
4.3	The Opinion of Advocate General Bot	179
4.4	The decision of the CJEU	182
4.5	Problems with the interpretation of Advocate General Bot, as confirmed by the CJEU	184
4.6	The response of the High Court	186
	Conclusion	187
5.	Challenging the UK understanding of copyright: Originality and subject-matter categorization at the forefront of the debate	189
1.	The 'Red Bus' decision	189
1.1	Background to the case	189
1.2	Originality in photographs	190
1.3	Reading too much into <i>Infopaq</i> and <i>Painer</i> : Aesthetic merit and visual significance as originality?	193
1.4	Is a cropped portrait version equally infringing?	195
1.5	The implications of the decision	196
2.	The <i>Lucasfilm</i> decision: a different outcome if decided today?	200
2.1	Background to the case	200
2.2	Whether the Stormtrooper helmet is a sculpture	202
2.3	Would an originality-based approach have led to a different solution?	204
	Conclusion	206
6.	The future of copyright at the EU level: The shape of harmonization	208
	Section I	208
1.	The US debate on the future of copyright	208
1.1	The 2010 CPP	210
1.2	Originality and fixation as pre-requisites to protection. Reinvigorating the role of formalities	211
1.3	Difficulties in assessing infringement cases: The idea/expression dichotomy, exceptions and limitation, safe harbour reform	213

1.4	CPP's proposals. Reforming Section 102(b) of the Copyright Act but neglecting originality	216
1.5	The next great copyright act	217
2.	How to reform copyright: a view from the EU	218
2.1	The Wittem Group and the project of a European copyright code	219
2.2	The objectives of the Project	220
2.3	Congenital weaknesses ...	220
2.4	... But a Sensible Approach	225
2.5	Integrating copyright and <i>droit d'auteur</i> traditions	226
2.6	Copyright limitations: An inspiration for reform	228
	Section II	231
1.	EU copyright harmonization: How?	231
2.	EU copyright harmonization: When if ever?	236
	<i>Bibliography</i>	241
	<i>Index</i>	259