

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Transitional justice and rule of law reconstruction: between ambition and modesty</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	<i>The role of ideas in transitional justice</i>	1
1.2	<i>The failure to integrate transitional justice with rule of law reconstruction</i>	8
1.3	<i>Reasons for modesty: the transitional context</i>	12
1.4	<i>Reasons for optimism: the transitional opportunity</i>	22
1.5	<i>Organization of the book</i>	25
<b>2</b>	<b>Institutions, culture and norms: the elements of rule of law reconstruction</b>	<b>42</b>
2.1	<i>Introduction: a contested concept</i>	42
2.2	<i>The rule of law in peace-building</i>	44
2.3	<i>Transitional justice, the rule of law and the risk of conflation</i>	49
2.4	<i>Institutions and the benefit of a 'thin' rule of law</i>	54
2.5	<i>A culture of the rule of law: binding citizens to the courts</i>	60
2.6	<i>A culture of the rule of law: restraining rulers</i>	67
2.7	<i>The diffusion of fair trial norms</i>	70
<b>3</b>	<b>The rule of law in transitional justice discourse: a narrative, not a programme</b>	<b>83</b>
3.1	<i>Introduction: does judicialization of politics equal rule of law?</i>	83
3.2	<i>The 'transition' paradigm</i>	85
3.3	<i>Justice or the rule of law?</i>	88
3.4	<i>Deeper examinations of the rule of law in transitional justice discourse</i>	93
3.5	<i>Does transitional justice imply a 'transitional rule of law'?</i>	99
3.6	<i>Getting realistic about the rule of law in transition</i>	103
3.7	<i>Transitional justice: claiming too much?</i>	107
3.8	<i>Conclusion: pragmatism and paradox</i>	111

<b>4</b>	<b>Process over product: towards a revised retributivism and utilitarianism in transitional trial</b>	<b>123</b>
4.1	<i>Introduction</i>	123
4.2	<i>The rise of utilitarianism</i>	125
4.3	<i>Reconceiving retributivism</i>	126
4.4	<i>Transitional trial: the defendant as a means to an end?</i>	131
4.5	<i>A revised utilitarianism</i>	142
4.6	<i>A mutually acceptable standard of trial</i>	163
<b>5</b>	<b>Never the twain shall meet? The challenge of international criminal jurisdiction to justice system reconstruction</b>	<b>180</b>
5.1	<i>Introduction: international rule of law versus domestic rule of law?</i>	180
5.2	<i>The ad hoc tribunals: internationalization unlimited</i>	188
5.3	<i>Hybrid courts: a promise unfulfilled</i>	192
5.4	<i>The Rome Statute system of justice: help or hegemon?</i>	200
5.5	<i>The ICC and domestic institution-building</i>	205
5.6	<i>The ICC and domestic rule of law culture</i>	211
5.7	<i>Positive for whom? Interrogating 'positive' complementarity</i>	215
5.8	<i>Conclusion</i>	223
<b>6</b>	<b>Restorative justice, traditional law, and justice sector reform</b>	<b>239</b>
6.1	<i>Introduction: pluralism and restoration</i>	239
6.2	<i>Parallel conversations: why customary mechanisms are viewed differently in rule of law reconstruction and transitional justice</i>	245
6.3	<i>From ignored to indispensable – traditional justice in rule of law reconstruction</i>	250
6.4	<i>Restoration and idealization: the transitional justice view</i>	259
6.5	<i>Conclusion: opportunities lost? The value of integration</i>	271
	<b>Conclusion: foundational justice and transitional justice, the pragmatic and the profound</b>	<b>287</b>
	<i>Bibliography</i>	290
	<i>Index</i>	295