

Contents

List of Tables	xiii
Table of Cases	xv
Preface	xxv
Editorial Note	xxvii
Introduction	xxix
The Early History of Same-Sex Marriage in California	xxx
Proposition 22: The Statutory Initiative	xxx
San Francisco Rejects Proposition 22	xxxii
The Legislature and the Governor Respond	xxxii
<i>In Re Marriage Cases</i>	xxxv
Proposition 8: The People Respond to the Courts	xxxvi
<i>Strauss v. Horton</i>	xl
Same-Sex Marriage Supporters Make It a Federal Case	xl
<i>Perry v. Schwarzenegger</i>	xlii
An Issue of Standing: Who Will Defend Prop 8?	xliii
<i>Perry v. Brown</i>	xlvi
On to the U.S. Supreme Court	xlvi
<i>Perry v. Brown</i>	xlvii
<i>Hollingsworth v. Perry</i>	1
The Same-Sex Marriage Battle—California and Beyond	
Chapter One · A Republican Form of Government	3
A. The Development of Direct Democracy	4
The Federalist Papers: No. 10 (Madison)	6
B. Modern Direct Democracy: The Exercise of the People’s “ <i>Inherent Powers</i> ”	9
C. “The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government”	12
<i>Kadderly v. City of Portland</i>	12
<i>Pacific States Telephone & Telegraph Co. v. Oregon</i>	16
<i>State of Ohio ex rel. Davis v. Hildebrandt</i>	25
D. Arguments For and Against Direct Democracy	29
Chapter Two · Recall of Elected Officials	31
A. Who Is Subject to Recall?	34
1. Federal Officials	34
<i>Committee to Recall Robert Menendez from the Office of U.S. Senator v. Wells</i>	35
2. Judicial Officers	42

The Federalist Papers: No. 78 (Hamilton)	43
B. Grounds for Recall	47
<i>Abbey v. Green</i>	47
<i>Foster v. Kovich</i>	52
C. Judicial Review of Stated Grounds for Recall	56
<i>In Re Recall of Davis</i>	56
<i>In re Ventura</i>	59
D. Recall Petition Signatures	64
E. Determining a Successor	64
<i>Abbey v. Green</i>	65
F. Miscellaneous Recall Requirements	67
G. Arguments For and Against Recall of Elected Officials	68
Chapter Three · The Referendum	69
A. The Referendum Is an Inherent Power of the People, but Subject to Constitutional Limitations	70
<i>City of Eastlake v. Forest City Enterprises, Inc.</i>	70
<i>Hawke v. Smith</i>	73
<i>Kimble v. Swackhamer</i>	76
B. The Veto Referendum	78
1. Signature Requirements for the Veto Referendum	78
2. Subject Matter Restrictions	79
<i>Michigan United Conservation Coalition v. Secretary of State</i>	81
3. Legislative Declaration of an Emergency	84
4. Judicial Review of an Emergency Declaration	85
<i>Kaddery v. City of Portland</i>	85
<i>State ex rel. Brislawn v. Meath</i>	88
5. Only Legislative Acts Are Subject to Referendum	94
6. Affirmation of a Statute	95
C. The Legislative Referendum	95
1. Legislatively Referred Constitutional Amendments	95
2. Legislatively Referred State Statutes	98
D. The Mandatory Referendum and Supermajority Requirements	99
<i>Gordon v. Lance</i>	100
Chapter Four · The Ballot Initiative	103
A. The Indirect Initiative	105
B. The Direct Initiative	107
C. Subject Matter Restrictions	109
<i>Wirzburger v. Galvin</i>	110
<i>Initiative and Referendum Institute v. Walker</i>	113
D. The Single-Subject Rule	120
<i>Pest Committee v. Miller</i>	122
<i>In re Advisory Opinion to the Attorney General</i>	126
<i>Yute Air Alaska v. McAlpine</i>	130
E. The Separate Vote Requirement	135
F. Amendment v. Revision, and the Single-Subject Rule	136
G. Limits on Defeated Ballot Initiatives	137
<i>State ex rel. Lemon v. Gale</i>	138
H. Pre-Election Judicial Review of Proposed Initiatives	144

1.	Judicial Review of Compliance with Electoral Procedures	144
2.	Judicial Review of the Constitutionality of the Substance of an Initiative	145
	<i>Wyoming National Abortion Rights Action League v. Karpan</i>	147
3.	Judicial Review of Content and Subject Matter Restrictions	151
Chapter Five · Pre-Circulation: Content Requirements, Administrative and Judicial Review and the Fiscal Impact Statement		
A.	Petition Content Requirements	153
1.	Drafting the Initiative Petition	154
2.	Filing the Initiative Petition	155
3.	Preparing the Petition Title and Summary	155
	<i>Campbell v. Buckley</i>	160
B.	Administrative and Judicial Review of the Petition Title and Summary	164
	<i>Gaines v. McCuen</i>	164
	<i>In re Initiative Petition No. 384</i>	169
	<i>South Dakota State Federation of Labor, AFL-CIO v. Jackley</i>	174
	<i>Planned Parenthood of Alaska v. Campbell</i>	177
C.	Fiscal Impact Statements on the Petition	184
	<i>Brown v. Carnahan</i>	184
Chapter Six · Circulation of the Petition and Submission of Signatures		
A.	Signature Gathering Requirements	191
	<i>Meyer v. Grant</i>	191
	<i>Buckley v. American Constitutional Law Foundation, Inc.</i>	195
1.	Payment Per Signature and Signature Fraud	206
	<i>Initiative & Referendum Institute v. Jaeger</i>	209
	<i>Citizens for Tax Reform v. Deter</i>	211
	<i>Independence Institute v. Gessler</i>	218
	<i>Montanans for Justice v. State ex rel. McGrath</i>	230
2.	Age and Residency Requirements	234
	<i>Initiative & Referendum Institute v. Jaeger</i>	237
	<i>Yes on Term Limits, Inc. v. Savage</i>	238
3.	Scarlet Letter Laws	242
	<i>Citizens In Charge v. Gale</i>	243
4.	Affidavit Affirming Compliance with Signature Gathering Procedures	245
5.	Registration with the State	247
	<i>Walker v. Oregon</i>	247
6.	Circulation Period and Filing Deadline	251
B.	Signature Requirements	253
1.	Number of Signatures	253
2.	Geographic Distribution Requirement	257
	<i>Angle v. Miller</i>	257
C.	Signature Verification	264
	<i>Lemons v. Bradbury</i>	267
Chapter Seven · Pre-Election Issues for Ballot-Qualified Initiatives		
A.	Legislative Input and Substitutes	275

B.	Ballot Information and Voter Education	277
1.	Ballot Title and Summary	278
	<i>State Ex Rel. Voters First v. Ohio Ballot Board</i>	280
2.	Voter Education Measures	288
a.	The Voters' Pamphlet	289
b.	The Fiscal Impact Statement	291
	<i>Marbet v. Keisling</i>	293
c.	Pro/Con Arguments	299
d.	Public Hearings/Publication	301
C.	Campaign Finance and Disclosure Requirements	304
1.	Campaign Finance—Initiatives and Referendums	304
	<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i>	305
	<i>Citizens Against Rent Control v. City of Berkeley</i>	308
2.	Disclosure of Petition Signatories	313
	<i>John Doe No. 1 v. Reed</i>	314
3.	Campaign Finance and Recall Elections	329
	<i>Farris v. Seabrook</i>	330
Chapter Eight	· Post-Election Issues for Ballot-Qualified Initiatives	333
A.	Vote Total Requirements	333
B.	Limits on Legislative Response	336
C.	Legal Challenges to Approved Ballot Initiatives	338
1.	State Constitutional Provisions and the U.S. Constitution	338
2.	Judicial Interpretation of Ballot Initiatives	343
3.	Judicial Review, Voter Intent and the Minority	344
	<i>Reitman v. Mulkey</i>	345
	<i>Hunter v. Erickson</i>	352
	<i>James v. Valtierra</i>	357
	<i>Washington v. Seattle School Distr. No. 1</i>	360
	<i>Romer v. Evans</i>	369
4.	Standing and the Defense of an Approved Initiative	379
a.	Standing and the Jurisdiction of Federal Courts	380
b.	Standing and the Jurisdiction of State Courts	381
Chapter Nine	· Amendment, Revision and the	
	Constitutional Convention	385
A.	“Amendment” v. “Revision”	386
	<i>McFadden v. Jordan</i>	387
	<i>Amador Valley Joint Union High School District v. State Board</i>	
	<i>of Equalization</i>	391
	<i>Raven v. Deukmejian</i>	394
	<i>Legislature v. Eu</i>	397
B.	Constitutional Revision	402
1.	Revision by the State Legislature	402
2.	Constitutional Revision Commissions	402
3.	State Constitutional Conventions	404
a.	Constitutional Convention Procedures	407
b.	Constitutional Convention Delegates	408
	<i>Driskell v. Edwards</i>	410
c.	Limited Constitutional Conventions	413

CONTENTS

xi

Snow v. City of Memphis

417

Malinou v. Powers

423

Appendix

425

Index

457