

1	Introduction.....	23
2	Purpose of the mainstreaming guidelines	25
2.1	Users of the guidelines	26
2.2	Limitations of the guidelines	27
3	Understanding the concept of mainstreaming	29
4	Principles of mainstreaming.....	33
4.1	Levels of drylands mainstreaming.....	36
5	The mainstreaming process	39
5.1	Preconditions for mainstreaming processes.....	39
5.2	Understanding key decision-making models	39
5.3	Generic drylands mainstreaming steps	40
5.3.1	Identification of the environmental, economic and social impacts	41
5.3.2	Identifying and filling information gaps	44
5.3.3	Assessing the legal, political and institutional environment for mainstreaming	46
5.3.4	Stakeholder analysis, roles, responsibilities and obligations.....	48
5.3.5	Carrying out capacity assessment and building	49
5.3.6	Drawing up a communication and awareness creation strategy	51
5.3.7	Building partnerships for mainstreaming.....	51
5.3.8	Planning for participation and consultation processes	51
5.3.9	Undertaking iterative planning.....	53
5.3.10	Linking the development frameworks with budget and other funding mechanisms.....	54
5.3.11	Implementing the plans.....	56
5.3.12	Learning, monitoring and evaluation of planning frameworks.....	56
5.3.13	Evaluating mainstreaming processes.....	58
6	Mainstreaming tools.....	61
6.1	Unpacking the concept of a 'tool'.....	61
6.2	Policy, legal and institutional tools.....	61
6.3	Tools for assessing environmental, economic and social impacts	66
6.4	Tools used for resource use planning and management.....	69
6.5	Analytical tools.....	69
6.6	Tools that evaluate effectiveness of mainstreaming	71
6.7	Criteria for selecting tools for mainstreaming	75
7	Conclusions.....	77

Part II: Lessons Learnt from Mainstreaming Drylands Issues into National Development Frameworks

Executive summary	85
1 Introduction.....	89
1.1 Limitations of the national case studies	90
1.2 Structure of the report.....	90
2 Understanding the values and developmental challenges of drylands	91
2.1 Characteristics of drylands	91
2.2 Conceptual framework linking drylands to human well-being	93
2.3 Values of drylands.....	94
2.3.1 Environmental values	94
2.3.2 Economic values.....	94
2.3.3 Socio-cultural values	97
2.4 Developmental challenges of drylands.....	98
2.4.1 Environmental challenges.....	98
2.4.2 Economic challenges.....	100
2.4.3 Socio-cultural challenges.....	101
3 Countries' understanding of mainstreaming.....	105
3.1 The meaning of the concept of mainstreaming.....	105
3.2 Rationale and justification for mainstreaming.....	106
4 Planning framework and institutional set-up for mainstreaming.....	111
4.1 Institutional set-up for economic and environmental planning	112
4.2 The position of non-state actors in planning and decision-making	116
4.3 The role and influence of donors and multilateral institutions in planning and decision-making	117
5 Practices and steps in the mainstreaming process.....	119
5.1 Factors that triggered mainstreaming in countries.....	119
5.2 Introducing a case of stepwise planning.....	120
5.3 Assessment of legal, political and institutional frameworks.....	122
5.4 Defining roles, responsibilities and obligations for mainstreaming.....	123
5.5 Public participation and consultation.....	123
5.6 Communication and awareness raising	126
5.7 Commissioning target studies.....	128
5.8 Training and capacity building.....	132
5.9 Integrative analysis of environment/drylands and poverty	133
5.10 Implementation	134
5.11 Partnership building	136
5.12 The role and involvement of ministries responsible for planning and finance.....	136
5.13 Assessment of funding mechanisms.....	138
5.14 Framework for monitoring and evaluation including reflection of indicators.....	141
6 A review of tools used for mainstreaming drylands	143
6.1 Tools that impose legal obligation and create an enabling environment to mainstream drylands.....	143
6.2 Tools that form the basis of cooperation between countries and institutions.....	144
6.3 Tools that inform decision-making processes by evaluating sustainable development aspects.....	145

6.4	Tools that define procedures to mainstreaming.....	146
6.5	Tools that use the power of the market to influence investment and consumption.....	146
6.6	Tools to guide participation and consultation.....	147
6.7	Tools that empower communities in decision-making.....	148
6.8	Tools that translate theory into practice.....	148
6.9	Tools that take an ecosystem and landscape approach to mainstreaming.....	149
6.10	Tools that promote accountability.....	150
6.11	Tools used to mobilize financial resources into drylands.....	151
6.12	Tools that foster an institutional culture and philosophy for mainstreaming.....	151
6.13	Tools for communication and awareness creation.....	152
6.14	Readiness of the country to appreciate and use findings.....	152
6.15	Educating the public on the context and importance of the tool.....	152
6.15.1	Institutionalization versus outsourcing.....	152
6.15.2	Assessing data needs.....	153
6.15.3	Assessing the capacities of the users.....	153
6.15.4	Objectives for the use of the tool.....	153

7 Tactics for use in drylands mainstreaming..... 155

7.1	Orienting to drylands issues prior to designing a planning framework.....	155
7.2	Capacity building on PRSP process and negotiation.....	156
7.3	Providing evidence from studies.....	156
7.4	Formation of pastoralist thematic or working groups.....	156
7.5	Intense lobbying.....	157
7.6	Placing mainstreaming into an institution with clout.....	157
7.7	Using the power of the media.....	157
7.8	Positioning environmental champions in other working groups.....	157
7.9	Holding political leaders accountable for delivery on combating desertification.....	158

8 Lessons learnt, challenges and constraints..... 159

8.1	Lessons learnt.....	159
8.1.1	It is time to process and transfer knowledge.....	159
8.1.2	Donors have a special role to support drylands mainstreaming processes.....	160
8.1.3	Drylands mainstreaming needs affirmative action.....	160
8.1.4	Mainstreaming is inherently expensive and time demanding.....	160
8.1.5	Capacity building for drylands mainstreaming will be expensive in the short- to medium term.....	161
8.1.6	Countries must go beyond reflecting drylands in planning frameworks.....	161
8.1.7	Commissioned studies are helping to overcome knowledge gaps.....	161
8.1.8	It pays to identify and use champions in mainstreaming.....	162
8.1.9	Guidelines have helped countries to advance in ENR mainstreaming.....	162
8.1.10	Community-driven (demonstration) projects matter in the long-term.....	162
8.1.11	The private sector and households can invest in drylands if incentives are correct.....	162
8.1.12	Independent watchdogs are necessary if mainstreaming is to be sustained.....	163
8.1.13	Mainstreaming processes need to be critically evaluated.....	163
8.2	Challenges and constraints in mainstreaming.....	163
8.2.1	Conceptual challenges.....	163
8.2.2	Negative attitudes and political marginalization of drylands.....	164
8.2.3	Conflict.....	164
8.2.4	Lack of technical and administrative staff.....	164
8.2.5	Environmental challenges.....	165
8.2.6	Institutional challenges.....	165
8.2.7	Too many plans competing for too few resources.....	167
8.2.8	How to ensure the voices of the most vulnerable are heard.....	167

8.2.9	Difficulty in maintaining mainstreaming continuity amidst political and administrative transition.....	167
8.2.10	Mainstreaming is considered en vogue	167
8.2.11	Too many issues being mainstreamed at the same time.....	167
8.2.12	There is an urgent need to improve governance through improved tenure rights.....	168
8.2.13	Many institutions are working on ENR nationally, with weak links both horizontally and vertically.....	168
8.2.14	Marginalization of drylands-based traditional institutions and decision-making processes	168