

# Contents

---

*Foreword* Franco Bassanini

viii

## PART I SWFS: NEW ACTORS IN THE INTERNATIONAL SCENE. A DEFINITION AND CLASSIFICATION

1	Sovereign entities investing abroad as private entities: limits of the conventional framework	3
1.	State investors between public and private, national and international law	3
2.	Specific issues raised by SWFs	5
2.1	The recent and quick growth of the phenomenon: a review of causes and consequences	6
2.2	Issues brought by recent developments	10
3.	Rationale and objectives of this work	14
2	SWFs: definition and classification	17
1.	The definitions in literature	17
1.1	Functional definitions	18
1.2	The differences between SOEs and SWFs	21
1.3	The ‘five pillars’ definition	23
1.4	The definitions misconception (definition by classification)	24
2.	The definition in the International Organisations’ practice	26
2.1	The IMF and OECD definitions	26
2.2	The limits of IMF definition and classification	29
2.3	The consequences of the lack of a shared notion	30
3.	The definition and classification suggested	31
3.1	Our proposed definition	31
3.2	The resulting classification	32

## PART II SWFS’ NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL REGULATION

3	Sovereign wealth funds’ regulation	39
1.	The proposals found in literature	40

1.1	The 'book of rules' model	41
1.2	The 'double constraint' theory	43
1.3	The temporary suspension of voting rights and other related measures	44
2.	The IMF guidelines ('Santiago GAPPs')	46
2.1	GAPP assumptions and their limits	46
2.2	The classification by regulatory/institutional framework and by investment activity	48
2.3	The limits of Santiago GAPPs' classification	50
3.	A proposal to transform conduct codes into measures binding on host and home states	52
3.1	At domestic level: host states' restrictive measures applicable only to SWFs not complying with GAPPs	53
3.2	At international level: the guidelines as a benchmark in bilateral investment treaties	54
4	Host states' laws and SWFs	55
1.	The governing law: obligations of host states	55
1.1	In WTO treaties	56
1.2	In OECD regulations	59
1.3	In EU laws	60
2.	Exemptions from host states' obligations	61
2.1	In WTO treaties	61
2.2	In OECD regulations	62
2.3	In EU law and practice	64
2.4	Exemptions applied by host states: preventive and repressive measures	68
3.	Little efficacy of the measures adopted at multilateral and national level	76
3.1	Soft law and international protection of SWFs investment	76
3.2	Host state measures, law and practice	78
4.	The challenging task SWFs must carry out, and some proposals to simplify it	83

### PART III SWFS' PROTECTION IN BILATERAL RELATIONS

5	SWFs and state immunity	89
1.	In search of a direct relationship	89
2.	'Passive' and 'active' immunity: host state and SWF actions relevant for applying state immunity	91
2.1	Host state actions that might be challenged by an SWF under the profile of state immunity	91

2.2	SWF actions and assets that might be protected by state immunity	92
3.	Immunity from jurisdiction and immunity from execution	92
3.1	Immunity from jurisdiction	93
3.2	Immunity from execution	96
4.	Application of state immunity to SWFs	99
4.1	Attribution to a state of the activities and ownership of SWF assets for immunity purposes	99
4.2	The 'tax exemption' factor	106
4.3	SWFs, state immunity and competition law: the need to overcome a misunderstanding	109
4.4	SWF models and immunity: a five-step analysis	111
4.5	Conclusions	114
6	SWFs and bilateral investment treaties	116
1.	An undiscovered relationship	116
2.	Bilateral investment treaties and their relevance for SWF investments	124
2.1	Theoretical analysis	124
2.2	SWFs' home states' practice	126
3.	The main BIT models. Assessment of their efficacy with respect to SWF investments	132
3.1	Existing models	133
3.2	Renegotiation under way	137
3.3	The efficacy of existing models in protecting SWF investments	142
4.	Final remarks	149
7	Conclusions	151
1.	Conclusions	151
	<i>Bibliography</i>	157
	<i>Index</i>	169