Acknowledgments vii

1 Introduction 1

2 Quantitative Imperative Versus the Imperative of Presuppositions 9

Introduction 9
Scientific Laws as Idealizations 12
Newton and the Law of Gravitation 14
Einstein and the Michelson–Morley Experiment 16
Baconian "Inductive Ascent" 20
Role of Heuristic Principles in Understanding the Nature of Science 23

3 Understanding Scientific Progress: From Duhem to Lakatos 27

Introduction 27
A Brief Introduction to Pierre Duhem's Life and Career 28
Role of Controversies 30
Role of Presuppositions 31
Criterion of Falsifiability: Positive and Negative Heuristics of a Research Program 33
Role of Contradictions (Inconsistencies) 34
From Progressive Evolution to Progressive Problemshift 35
Duhem's Dilemma: Role of Crucial Experiments 36
Development of Scientific Theories 38
Duhem 38
Lakatos 38
Duhem 39
Lakatos 39
Duhem 39

Lakatos 39
Duhem–Quine Thesis Within a Lakatosian Framework 40
Conclusion 41

4 Kinetic Theory: Maxwell's Presuppositions 45

Introduction 45
Clausius' Simplifying (Basic) Assumptions 45
Maxwell's Simplifying Assumptions (Presuppositions) 46
A Lakatosian Interpretation of Maxwell's Research Program 47
"Progressive Problemshifts" in Maxwell's Research Program 48
van der Waals' Equation of State: A "Progressive Problemshift" 48
Kinetic Theory and Chemical Thermodynamics as Rival Research Programs 49
Educational Implications of the Kinetic Molecular Theory of Gases 50
Maxwell's Simplifying (Basic) Assumptions 50
Inconsistent Nature of Maxwell's Research Program 51
van der Waals' Contribution: Reducing/Modifying Basic Assumptions 51
Kinetic Theory and Chemical Thermodynamics as Rival Research Programs 52
Understanding Behavior of Gases: From Algorithmic to Conceptual 52

5 Periodic Table of the Chemical Elements: From Mendeleev to Moseley 55

Introduction 55
Periodicity in the Periodic Table as a Function of the Atomic Theory 56
Role of Predictions in Scientific Theories and Their Implications for the Periodic Table 59
Relative Importance of Accommodations and Predictions as Possible Support for Mendeleev's Periodic Table 61
Mendeleev's Contribution: Theory or an Empirical Law? 63
Contributions of Thomson, Lewis, Bohr, and Moseley 66
Mendeleev's Periodic Law: Does It Follow a "Baconian Inductive Ascent"? 67
Educational Implications of a Historical Reconstruction of the Periodic Table 68
Explanation of Periodicity in the Periodic Table 68
Importance of Prediction as Evidence to Support the Periodic Law 69
Relative Importance of Accommodation and Prediction in Development of the Periodic Table 70
Mendeleev's Contribution: Theory or Empirical Law? 70
Periodic Table as a "Baconian Inductive Ascent" 71

6 Foundations of Modern Atomic Theory: Thomson, Rutherford, and Bohr 75

Introduction 75
Thomson's Cathode Ray Experiments 76
Thomson's (1897) Article in the Philosophical Magazine 77
Heuristic Principles of Thomson's Model of the Atom 79
Educational Implications of the Thomson Model of the Atom 80
Rutherford's Alpha Particle Experiments 82
Rutherford's (1911) Article in the Philosophical Magazine 83
Heuristic Principles of Rutherford's Model of the Nuclear Atom 85
Educational Implications of the Rutherford Model of the Atom 87
Bohr's Model of the Atom 88
Bohr's (1913a) Article in the Philosophical Magazine 89
Heuristic Principles of Bohr's Model of the Atom 90
Educational Implications of the Bohr Model of the Atom 93

7 Determination of the Elementary Electrical Charge: Millikan and Ehrenhaft* 97

Introduction 97
An Appraisal of Holton's Interpretation 99
An Appraisal of Franklin's Interpretation 101
An Appraisal of Barnes, Bloor, and Henry's Interpretation 104
An Appraisal of Goodstein's Interpretation 107
A Crucial Test: The Second Drop (Reading) of 15 March 1912 109
An Appraisal of Hintikka's (2005) Interpretation 111
Hintikka's Interrogative Model of Inquiry 111
Hintikka on Millikan's Procedure 111
Hintikka on the Drop of April 16, 1912 112
Educational Implications of the Historical Reconstruction of the Oil Drop Experiment 113
Millikan–Ehrenhaft Controversy 113
Millikan's Guiding Assumptions 113
Dependence of the Elementary Electrical Charge on Experimental Variables 114
Millikan's Experiments as Part of a Progressive Sequence of Heuristic Principles 114
Oil Drop Experiment in the Undergraduate Physics Laboratory 115
Oil Drop Experiment in Advanced Courses 116
Conclusion: Is Closure Possible? 116

8 Paradox of the Photoelectric Effect: Einstein and Millikan 121

Introduction 121
Millikan's Experimental Determination of Planck's Constant (h) 122
Millikan's Interpretation of Einstein's Hypothesis of Lightquanta 122

Millikan's Interpretation of the Hypothesis of Lightquanta in Retrospect 124
Holton's Two Ironies 125
The Third Irony 126
Conclusion 126

9 Bending of Light in the 1919 Eclipse Experiments: Einstein and Eddington 127

Introduction 127
Premise of the Eclipse Experiments 128
Experimental Results Reported by Dyson and Eddington 129
Salient Aspects of the Interpretation by Earman and Glymour (1980) 129
Salient Aspects of the Interpretation by Collins and Pinch (1993) 130
Salient Aspects of the Interpretation by Brush (1999) 130
Salient Aspects of the Interpretation by Hudson (2003) 131
Role of Prediction (Heuristic Novelty) of Bending of Light and the Eclipse Experiments 131
Dyson and Eddington's Contradictions 133
Role of Presuppositions in Understanding the Eclipse Experiments 134
Eddington's "Implicit" Presuppositions 136
Conclusion: Is Closure Possible? 137

10 Lewis's Covalent Bond: From Transfer of Electrons to Sharing of Electrons 139

Introduction 139
Lewis's 1902 Model of the Cubic Atom 139
Lewis's Model of the Covalent Bond 141
Historical Antecedents of the Covalent Bond 142
Origin of the Covalent Bond: A "Baconian Inductive Ascent"? 144
Educational Implications of the Historical Reconstruction of the Covalent Bond 145
Lewis's Cubic Atom as a Theoretical Device for Understanding the Sharing of Electrons 145
Sharing of Electrons (Covalent Bond) Had to Compete with the Transfer of Electrons (Ionic Bond) 146
Covalent Bond: Inductive Generalization/Derived from the Cubical Atom 146
Pauli's Exclusion Principle as an Explanation of the Sharing of Electrons in Covalent Bonds 147
Development of the Covalent Bond as a "Baconian Inductive Ascent" 147

11 Quantum Mechanics: From Bohr to Bohm 149

Introduction 149
Origin of the Quantum Hypothesis 150
A Brief Introduction to the Life and Career of David Bohm 151
Origin and Development of Bohm's Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics 152
Comparison of Bohm's and Bohr's Copenhagen Interpretations of Quantum Mechanics 153
Classical Reality 154
Copenhagen Reality 154
Bohmian Reality 154
Educational Implications of the Historical Reconstruction 156

12 Wave–Particle Duality: De Broglie, Einstein, and Schrödinger 159

Introduction 159
Origins of the Wave–Particle Duality 160
De Broglie and Wave–Particle Duality 160
De Broglie's First Attempt to Postulate Wave–Particle Duality 161
Experimental Evidence to Support de Broglie's Theory 161
De Broglie's Reputation as an Obstacle in the Acceptance of His Theory 163
Einstein's Support of de Broglie's Ideas 164
Why Was It Schrödinger Who Developed de Broglie's Ideas? 164

13 Searching for Quarks: Perl's Philosophy of Speculative Experiments 167

Introduction 167
Search for Elementary Particles with Fractional Electrical Charge 167
Understanding Scientific Research Methodology: Contrasting Millikan and Perl 170
Philosophical Remark 1 (Perl & Lee, 1997) 170
Philosophical Remark 2 (Perl & Lee, 1997) 170
Philosophical Remark 3 (Perl & Lee, 1997) 171
Philosophical Remark 4 (Perl & Lee, 1997) 171
Philosophical Remark 5 (Perl & Lee, 1997) 172
Philosophical Remark 6 (Perl & Lee, 1997) 172
Philosophical Remark 7 (Perl & Lee, 1997) 173
Philosophical Remark 8 (Perl & Lee, 1997) 173
Conclusion: The Role and Importance of Cutting-Edge Speculative Experiments 173

14 Conclusion: Inductive Method as a Chimera 175

The Inductive Method 182
Milieu of the Time 183
Do Varying Interpretations of Experimental Data Undermine the Objective Nature of Science? 183
Role of Presuppositions of the Scientist 183
Experiments and Conjectures 184
Data from Experiments Do Not Unambiguously Lead to the Formulation of Theories 185
Role of Inconsistencies 185
Role of Speculations 186
Role of Controversies 186
Progress in Scientific Research Methodology 186

References 187

Author Index 205

Subject Index 211