

STELLAR ASTROPHYSICAL FLUID DYNAMICS

Edited by

MICHAEL J. THOMPSON

Imperial College London

JØRGEN CHRISTENSEN-DALSGAARD

University of Aarhus



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa
<http://www.cambridge.org>

© Cambridge University Press 2003

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2003

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeface Times 11/14 pt *System* L^AT_EX 2_ε [TB]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Thompson, Michael, 1959–
Stellar astrophysical fluid dynamics / Michael Thompson, Jorgen Christensen-Dalsgaard.
p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0 521 81809 5

1. Fluid dynamics. 2. Astrophysics. I. Christensen-Dalsgaard, Jørgen, 1950– II. Title.

QB466.F58 T46 2003
523.8–dc21 2002031585

ISBN 0 521 81809 5 hardback

Contents

	<i>Preface</i>	<i>page ix</i>
1	A selective overview <i>Jørgen Christensen-Dalsgaard and Michael J. Thompson</i>	1
I Stellar convection and oscillations		
2	On the diversity of stellar pulsations <i>Wojciech A. Dziembowski</i>	23
3	Acoustic radiation and mode excitation by turbulent convection <i>Günter Houdek</i>	39
4	Understanding roAp stars <i>Margarida S. Cunha</i>	51
5	Waves in the magnetised solar atmosphere <i>Colin S. Rosenthal</i>	63
II Stellar rotation and magnetic fields		
6	Stellar rotation: a historical survey <i>Leon Mestel</i>	75
7	The oscillations of rapidly rotating stars <i>Michel Rieutord</i>	99
8	Solar tachocline dynamics: eddy viscosity, anti-friction, or something in between? <i>Michael E. McIntyre</i>	111
9	Dynamics of the solar tachocline <i>Pascale Garaud</i>	131
10	Dynamo processes: the interaction of turbulence and magnetic fields <i>Michael Proctor</i>	143
11	Dynamos in planets <i>Chris Jones</i>	159

III Physics and structure of stellar interiors		
12	Solar constraints on the equation of state <i>Werner Däppen</i>	179
13	^3He transport and the solar neutrino problem <i>Chris Jordinson</i>	193
14	Mixing in stellar radiation zones <i>Jean-Paul Zahn</i>	205
15	Element settling and rotation-induced mixing in slowly rotating stars <i>Sylvie Vauclair</i>	219
IV Helio- and asteroseismology		
16	Solar structure and the neutrino problem <i>Hiromoto Shibahashi</i>	231
17	Helioseismic data analysis <i>Jesper Schou</i>	247
18	Seismology of solar rotation <i>Takashi Sekii</i>	263
19	Telechronohelioseismology <i>Alexander Kosovichev</i>	279
V Large-scale numerical experiments		
20	Bridges between helioseismology and models of convection zone dynamics <i>Juri Toomre</i>	299
21	Numerical simulations of the solar convection zone <i>Julian R. Elliott</i>	315
22	Modelling solar and stellar magnetoconvection <i>Nigel Weiss</i>	329
23	Nonlinear magnetoconvection in the presence of a strong oblique field <i>Keith Julien, Edgar Knobloch and Steven M. Tobias</i>	345
24	Simulations of astrophysical fluids <i>Marcus Brüggen</i>	357
VI Dynamics		
25	A magic electromagnetic field <i>Donald Lynden-Bell</i>	369
26	Continuum equations for stellar dynamics <i>Edward A. Spiegel and Jean-Luc Thiffeault</i>	377

Contents

vii

27	Formation of planetary systems <i>Douglas N. C. Lin</i>	393
28	The solar-cycle global warming as inferred from sky brightness variation <i>Wasaburo Unno and Hiromoto Shibahashi</i>	411

1

A selective overview

JØRGEN CHRISTENSEN-DALSGAARD

*Teoretisk Astrofysik Center, Danmarks Grundforskningsfond, and
Institut for Fysik og Astronomi, Aarhus Universitet, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark*

MICHAEL J. THOMPSON

*Space & Atmospheric Physics, The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College,
London SW7 2BZ, UK*

1.1 Introduction

Although sometimes ignored, there is no doubt that hydrodynamical processes play a central role in virtually all areas of astrophysics. If they are neglected in the analyses of observations and the modelling, the results for any object must become questionable; the same is therefore true of the understanding of basic astrophysical phenomena and processes that result from such investigations.

Investigations of astrophysical fluid dynamics are hampered by both theoretical and observational problems. On the theoretical side it is evident that the systems being studied are so complex that realistic analytical investigations are not possible. Furthermore, the range of scale, extending in the case of stars from the stellar radius to scales of order 100 m or less, entirely prevents a complete numerical solution. Observationally, the difficulty is to find data that are sensitive to the relevant processes, without being overwhelmed by other, similarly uncertain, effects. Progress in this field therefore requires a combination of physical intuition combined with analysis of simple model systems, possibly also experiments analogous to astrophysical systems, detailed numerical simulations to the extent that they are feasible, together with a judicious choice of observations and development and application of analysis techniques that can isolate the relevant features. Douglas Gough has excelled in all these areas.

In this brief introduction we make no pretense of reviewing the whole vast field of hydrodynamical processes in astrophysics, or even in stars. The excellent contributions to the rest of the book will do a far better job than we can here of discussing the current state and outstanding issues of many aspects of the subject. Nor do we try to review all the many contributions that Douglas has thus far made to the subject. We must content ourselves

with a highly subjective selection of a few of the major themes of Douglas's work to date, on this the occasion of his sixtieth birthday, providing some (though again by no means comprehensive) context of associated work in those areas.

At this point two investigations somewhat outside even the broad general range of Douglas's research deserve to be mentioned. One (Gough & Lynden-Bell 1968) was a simple, but ingenious, experiment to study effects of turbulence in a rotating fluid and involving a rather unusual application of Alka-Seltzer tablets. The second (Bastin & Gough 1969), published a few months before the first manned lunar landing, was a computation of the thermal and radiative properties of the lunar surface, as determined by its scales of roughness, and a comparison with the observed thermal properties of the Moon. The resulting inferences of the properties of roughness can surely be characterized as a selenological inverse problem.

1.2 On taking mixing-length theory seriously

In stellar astrophysics, the most obvious hydrodynamical problem concerns convection, the effects of which are directly observable on the solar surface in the granulation. The conditions under which instability arises, *viz.* a density gradient that decreases too slowly with distance from the centre of the star such that an adiabatically rising element of gas finds itself lighter than the surroundings, are well understood, although, as discussed by Gough & Tayler (1966) additional effects such as magnetic fields may substantially complicate the stability analysis. The subsequent development of the instability, on the other hand, and the resulting energy transport and hence the relation between the temperature gradient and the flow of energy in the star, is very uncertain. The 'classic' treatment of convection in stellar modelling is through the so-called mixing-length theory, whereby convection is described by the motion of convective elements over a certain characteristic length, often taken to be a multiple of the local pressure scale height, after which the element is dissolved, delivering its excess heat to the surroundings (*e.g.* Böhm-Vitense 1958).

Analyses of convection often explicitly or implicitly make the *Boussinesque approximation*, where density variations are neglected except in the buoyancy term, the fluid being otherwise treated as incompressible. However, unlike most laboratory experiments, stellar convection typically takes place over regions of very substantial variation in density and hence inherently involves compressibility. The resulting presence of sound waves is a major complication from a numerical point of view, requiring much shorter

time steps than those needed to resolve the convective motions. To bypass such complications, Gough (1969) developed the *anelastic approximation* through a formal scale analysis of the fully compressible equations; in this approximation, sound waves are precluded, resulting in a set of equations that are appropriate for the treatment of convection or, *e.g.*, internal gravity waves. As discussed in this volume by Toomre and Elliott the anelastic approximation is still commonly used in large-scale simulations of solar convection.

The visual appearance of laboratory or solar convection in the form of a more or less regular flow pattern suggests a possibly manageable type of numerical computation, whereby the horizontal properties of convection are modelled in terms of an expansion in planforms, perhaps limited to a single term, whereas the vertical behaviour is computed in detail and with substantial numerical resolution. Although still highly simplified, one may hope that such a description can provide physical insight into the behaviour of convection under more realistic circumstances. The basic properties of such *modal descriptions* were elucidated by Gough, Spiegel & Toomre (1975), who included a discussion of the asymptotic limits of weak and strong convective instability, for the case of simple laboratory situations. Numerical solutions for this case were presented by Toomre, Gough & Spiegel (1977). Latour *et al.* (1976) extended the formalism for application to stellar modelling, in the anelastic approximation. This was used by Toomre *et al.* (1976) in the study of the near-surface convection zones in A-type stars, again restricting the description to a single horizontal planform; the results suggested substantial overshoot between the separate hydrogen and helium convection zones found in such stars, suggesting that the intervening region may be mixed, with significant effects on the surface abundances of these stars.†

Even simplified numerical calculations of convection are too complex and time consuming to be included in the general modelling of stars or their pulsations. Thus simpler prescriptions, such as mixing-length theory, are unavoidable. Although mixing-length theory was originally formulated in a heuristic fashion, Spiegel (1963) and Gough & Spiegel (1977) pointed out that it could be derived in terms of a more precise physical picture. This involves a probabilistic description of the creation, motion and destruction of convective elements, depending on the degree of linear instability of the convective motion, and the assumed aspect ratio of the convective elements. For a static star the result, with proper choices of parameters, yielded the

† Interestingly, modern large-scale simulations often use expansions in horizontal planforms, such as in the case of the anelastic spherical-harmonic code discussed by Toomre (this volume). In such simulations, obviously, a very large number of horizontal modes are included.

same result as the normal mixing-length description. However, as developed by Gough (1965), and in more detail by Gough (1977a), the physical description lent itself to generalization to convection in a pulsating star, providing expressions for the perturbations to the convective flux and the turbulent pressure. Baker & Gough (1979) applied the resulting expressions to the modelling of RR Lyrae variables; they showed that convective effects caused a return to stability at sufficiently low effective temperature, providing a natural explanation for the red edge of the instability strip. Spiegel (1963) and Gough (1977b) noted that the treatment of mixing-length theory could be generalized to account for non-local effects, involving both the motion of a given convective eddy over a finite distance of varying stellar conditions and the average over convective eddies yielding the energy transport at a given location. Balmforth & Gough (1990) extended the non-local treatment to pulsating stars. This was applied by Balmforth (1992) to demonstrate that solar acoustic modes are likely stable, the dominant stabilizing effect being the perturbation to the turbulent pressure. Also, Houdek (2000) showed that this could account for the red edge of the δ Scuti and Cepheid instability strip.

1.3 The solar spoon

A strong indication that normal models of stellar evolution might be inadequate resulted from the initial attempts to detect neutrinos from the Sun: the observed upper limit (Davis, Harmer & Hoffman, 1968) was substantially below the model predictions (*e.g.* Bahcall, Bahcall & Shaviv, 1968). Given the simplifications made in modelling the Sun, this was perhaps not surprising. In particular, it was pointed out by, for example, Ezer & Cameron (1968) that mixing of the solar core might reduce the neutrino flux. Such mixing could result from hitherto neglected instabilities of the solar interior. Dilke & Gough (1972) found, based on a simple model of solar oscillations, that g modes might be destabilized by nuclear reactions. They speculated that such instability might trigger sudden mixing of the solar core, through the onset of convective instability. After such a mixing episode the flux of solar neutrinos would be temporarily depressed, over a few million years, and the suggestion was therefore that we are currently in such a period. Dilke & Gough also pointed out that the accompanying relatively rapid fluctuations in the solar luminosity might have acted as a trigger for the series of ice ages that is currently affecting the climate of the Earth, thus making more likely the required special nature of the present epoch. More detailed calculations by Christensen-Dalsgaard, Dilke & Gough (1974), Boury *et al.*

(1975) and Shibahashi, Osaki & Unno (1975) confirmed the reality of the instability, resulting from the build-up of the gradient of the ^3He abundance, the first instability setting in after about 200 Myr of evolution. However, the subsequent non-linear development into convective mixing has not been demonstrated. Dziembowski (1983) showed that resonant coupling would limit the amplitudes at a level far below what might be expected to initiate mixing. Furthermore, analyses by Merryfield, Toomre & Gough (1990, 1991), albeit for a simplified physical system, found a tendency for non-linear development to lead to sustained finite-amplitude oscillations rather than direct convective mixing. Even so, it should be kept in mind that solar models are subject to instabilities which have not been properly taken into account in solar modelling.

The closely related issue of the effects of a sustained flow on the solar ^3He profile and neutrino flux is addressed by Jordinson (this volume).

As discussed by Shibahashi (this volume), inferences from helioseismology place strong constraints on solar structure; the inferred helioseismic model is in fact very close to the ‘standard solar models’, including also the predicted neutrino flux. In particular, the excellent agreement between normal, unmixed, models and helioseismic inferences of the solar core indicates that no substantial mixing has taken place, in contrast to the proposal by Dilke & Gough (1972). Although helioseismology does not provide direct information about the solar core temperature upon which the neutrino production predominantly depends, these results nevertheless make it plausible that the cause for the neutrino discrepancy lies in the properties of the neutrino, rather than in errors in solar models. Specifically, if neutrinos have finite mass, the electron neutrinos generated in the nuclear reactions in the Sun may in part be converted to muon or tau neutrinos before reaching the detectors. Strong indications of this process were obtained by measurements from the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory (SNO) which allowed the total neutrino capture rate to be compared with the capture rate of electron neutrinos (Ahmad *et al.* 2001). Direct measurements of all types of neutrinos by Ahmad *et al.* (2002) have very recently provided dramatic confirmation of the transformation between the different types of neutrinos; the total neutrino flux was found to be fully consistent with the predictions of standard solar models, confirming the indications from helioseismology that such models are in fact good representations of solar structure, as reflected in the neutrino production.

It may be somewhat disappointing to Douglas that the conclusion of the efforts, to which he has contributed substantially, over more than three decades to understand the origin of the solar neutrino problem does not point

towards novel aspects of stellar interior physics. However, the prospects now of using the predicted solar neutrino production, constrained by the results of helioseismology, to investigate the properties of the neutrinos are perhaps even more exciting.

1.4 Deep roots of solar cycles

The solar 11-year sunspot cycle, and the 22-year magnetic cycle, are clear indications of large-scale dynamical processes in the Sun. These are normally assumed to result from ‘dynamo processes’, involving a coupling between the solar differential rotation, convection and the magnetic field. However, although models exist which reproduce aspects of the solar cycle, these cannot be regarded as sufficiently definitive that other mechanisms, perhaps involving magnetic oscillations of the deep solar interior, can be ruled out. Indeed, Dicke (1970, 1978) proposed that the periodicity might arise from a regular oscillator in the deep solar interior, modulated by the convection zone to give rise to the apparently somewhat irregular periodicity observed in the solar cycle; he noted that this would be reflected in the long-term phase stability of the cycle, in contrast to the dynamo models where a more erratic phase behaviour might be expected. Gough (1978a, 1981a) analysed examples of the two models, as well as the available data on sunspot maxima and minima; the results suggested that the solar behaviour was intermediate between the models, with no firm conclusion possible.

The variations in solar irradiance accompanying the solar cycle are well established (*e.g.* Willson & Hudson, 1991; Pap & Fröhlich, 1999); there have also been studies, although somewhat conflicting, concerning possible variations in the solar radius (*e.g.* Gavryusev *et al.* 1994; Noël 1997; Brown & Christensen-Dalsgaard 1998). As discussed by Gough (1981a), the changes in solar structure associated with the solar cycle depend on the physical location of the dominant mechanisms causing these changes, thus providing the possibility of obtaining information about the physical nature of the cycle. In particular, he noted that the ratio between the luminosity variations, assumed to be reflected in the irradiance, and the radius variations would depend on the location of the physical mechanism responsible for the solar cycle. Gough analysed the ratio $W = \Delta \ln R / \Delta \ln L$, R being the surface radius and L the luminosity, showing that it increases with increasing depth of the perturbation that produces the variations (see also Däppen 1983). While the irradiance variations, corresponding to an increase of about 0.1 % at sunspot maximum relative to sunspot minimum, has been measured precisely from space, results on the radius variations are some-

what contradictory. Major difficulties are the correction for changes in solar atmospheric structure and, for the visual observations which span the most extended period, corrections for observational bias. It has been pointed out (*e.g.* Parkinson, Morrison & Stephenson 1980) that the width of the path of totality at a total solar eclipse provides an accurate measure of the solar radius, given that the lunar diameter and the ephemeris are known with great accuracy. To apply this idea Gough & Parkinson (1983) carried out determinations of the edges of the eclipse path during the 1983 solar eclipse in Indonesia, by distributing teams of observers to span the northern and southern limits of totality. Unfortunately, some confusion amongst the observers led to inconsistencies in the results which made the desired precise determination impossible.

1.5 Helioseismology: oscillations as a diagnostic of the solar interior

A major development in observational stellar astrophysics took place in 1975 with the first announcements of observations of coherent solar oscillations. Oscillatory signals on the solar surface had been detected substantially earlier, the first detailed results having been obtained by Leighton, Noyes & Simon (1962). These observations showed localized oscillations with periods near five minutes, but with apparently limited spatial and temporal coherence. Thus the oscillations were generally regarded as an atmospheric phenomenon, although a more global nature was suggested by Ulrich (1970), Leibacher & Stein (1971) and Wolff (1972), based on somewhat more detailed observations by Frazier (1968). The global nature of the oscillations was definitely established by the observations by Deubner (1975) and Rhodes, Ulrich & Simon (1977): by obtaining fairly extensive data as a function of both position and time they were able to construct two-dimensional power spectra, as functions of horizontal wave number and frequency, and demonstrate that power was concentrated in ridges corresponding to the modal nature of the oscillations, as earlier predicted by the theoretical analyses. Furthermore, Hill, Stebbins & Brown (1976) announced the detection of oscillations, with periods between 13 and 50 minutes in the apparent solar diameter. Also, Brookes, Isaak & van der Raay (1976) and Severny, Kotov & Tsap (1976) independently presented evidence for an oscillation with a period of very close to 160 minutes in solar Doppler observations.

It was immediately obvious that frequencies of global solar oscillation would be extremely powerful probes of the solar interior. This led to expectations that a ‘heliological inverse problem’ might be formulated, analogous to

the inverse problems used in geophysics to infer the structure of the Earth's interior (Christensen-Dalsgaard & Gough 1976). An initial comparison was also made by Christensen-Dalsgaard & Gough between the frequencies reported from the observations by Hill *et al.* (1976) and a solar model; some significance was attached, a little prematurely, by the junior author to the apparently reasonable agreement between observations and model. Indeed, initially, much interest centred on the observations by Hill *et al.* which, since they involved a full solar diameter, appeared to be truly global; in contrast, the modes detected by Deubner and by Rhodes *et al.* were concentrated in a relatively superficial part of the Sun. Thus several additional comparisons were made between the observed frequencies and those of solar models (*e.g.* Scufflaire *et al.* 1975; Iben & Mahaffy 1976; Rouse 1977). Even so, possible alternative explanations unrelated to global solar oscillations were also sought; an interesting hydrodynamical possibility was seiches in supergranules, similar to those observed *e.g.* in a glass of beer (Gough, Pringle & Spiegel, 1976). The longer-period oscillation discovered by Brookes *et al.* and Severny *et al.* was potentially of even greater interest: if it were truly a global solar oscillation it would have to be a g mode, as demonstrated by Christensen-Dalsgaard, Cooper & Gough (1983), and hence it would be sensitive to the deep solar interior. However, the rather peculiar nature of the mode, particularly the long period and the absence of neighbouring modes in the expected dense spectrum of g modes, prompted alternative explanations; in particular, the close proximity of the period to 1/9 of a day led to suspicions that the observations might be related to phenomena in the Earth's atmosphere.

Although of a more superficial nature, the original high-degree five-minute oscillations are of very substantial diagnostic potential for the solar interior. They are concentrated in the upper parts of the convection zone. However, since the convection zone is essentially adiabatically stratified, apart from a very thin upper layer, its structure is fully determined by specifying the (constant) value of the specific entropy. As a result, the constraints from the five-minute oscillations on the upper parts of the convection zone essentially allow extrapolation to the rest of the convection zone. Consequently, Gough (1977c) and Ulrich & Rhodes (1977) were able, on the basis of the early data, to infer that the convection zone was substantially deeper than obtained from the then current solar models. Also, the modes are directly sensitive to the equation of state in the ionization zones of hydrogen and helium, providing a first helioseismic test of the physics of the solar interior (Berthomieu *et al.* 1980; Lubow *et al.* 1980). Gough (1984a) emphasized the great significance of using such analyses to test the highly complex thermo-

dynamic properties of matter under solar conditions, as well as to determine the present solar envelope helium abundance. As discussed by Däppen in this volume, such investigations of the ‘microphysics’ of the solar interior have been very successful, allowing tests of subtle aspects of the equation of state in the solar convection zone.

The oscillations are also sensitive to motions in the solar interior. Deubner, Ulrich & Rhodes (1979) noted that the frequencies of the five-minute oscillations were shifted by the sub-surface rotational velocity. More general rotation laws were considered by Gough (1981b), and independently by Hansen, Cox & van Horn (1977) and Cuypers (1980), thereby laying the foundation for the use of observed rotational frequency splittings to investigate solar internal rotation, by extending earlier work by Ledoux (1951) who had considered uniform rotation.

It is interesting that much of the early enthusiasm for helioseismology was inspired by the diameter observations by Hill *et al.* and the detection of the 160-minute oscillation. Later observations, including the detailed data obtained for periods below 15 minutes and the extensive attempts to detect long-period oscillations, make it overwhelmingly likely that the early results were in fact unrelated to global solar effects. However, they served an important role as inspirations for other observational efforts which have led to the dramatic success of helioseismology based on the five-minute oscillations, also evident in the present volume.

1.6 Inverting helioseismic data

A key issue in the applications of helioseismology is evidently the ability to carry out *inversion*, *i.e.*, to infer from the observed frequencies localized information about the properties of the solar interior. Similar problems have a long history of study in geophysics.† It was realized by Gough (1978b) that the method proposed by Backus & Gilbert (1968) was well suited to obtain inferences of, for example, solar rotation in the form localized averages characterized by well-defined *averaging kernels*. Establishing a procedure that has been used extensively since, Gough tested the method on artificial data similar to the observations presented by Deubner *et al.* (1979). Gough (1982) analyzed rotational splittings inferred from observations of the solar diameter by Bos & Hill (1983), to constrain the solar internal rotation and thence the gravitational quadrupole moment J_2 of the Sun, which is of obvious importance to tests based on planetary motion of gravitational

† A discussion of the information transfer between geo- and helioseismology was provided by Gough (1996a).

theories. In addition to the Backus-Gilbert technique he applied a least-squares polynomial fit as well as a minimization of the integral of the square of the radial gradient of angular velocity, subject to the constraints of the observed splittings being satisfied exactly. It is interesting to note that the latter technique resembles the regularized least-squares fitting technique now commonly used in helioseismic analyses.

The solar quadrupole moment affects the gravitational field outside the Sun and hence the motion of planets. This effect must be taken into account in tests of general relativity based on, for example, the precession of the orbit of Mercury. Given the value of J_2 obtained by Gough (1982), radar observations of planetary motion were indeed consistent with general relativity. Although, as already mentioned, the observations of oscillations in the solar diameter were questionable, more recent inferences of J_2 based on helioseismic inversions of frequencies in the five-minute region have confirmed this conclusion (*e.g.* Pijpers 1998; Roxburgh 2001).

Douglas, with his student A. Cooper, also developed inversion techniques for the inference of solar internal structure. Since the dependence of the oscillation frequencies on, *e.g.*, the sound speed and density of the solar interior is strongly nonlinear, this is normally carried out by linearization around a reference model, relating the frequency differences between observations and model to the differences in structure between the Sun and the model. By means of tests involving structure and frequency differences between simplified solar models, they investigated the ability of the Backus-Gilbert technique to construct localized information about the density difference between the Sun and the model. The success depended greatly on the assumed mode set (Cooper 1981; Gough 1984b): with just low-degree p modes only broad averages in the outer parts of the model could be obtained, whereas with a set including also low-degree g modes good resolution was possible throughout the model. These results were of substantial significance in the evaluation of the DISCO mission to observe solar oscillations, proposed to ESA (*e.g.* Bonnet *et al.* 1981; Balogh *et al.* 1981): it was estimated that this mission would indeed be able to observe low-order, low-degree g modes. While DISCO was in the end not selected, and the detection of g modes, even from the much more powerful instruments on the SOHO spacecraft, remains elusive (*e.g.* Appourchaux *et al.* 2000), the detailed p-mode data have dramatically realized the potential for structure inversion (Shibahashi, this volume).

Approximate analyses of stellar oscillation frequencies have played a major role in the development of helio- and asteroseismology. An early example was the derivation by Gough, Ostriker & Stobie (1965) of approximate expres-

sions for the periods of radially pulsating stellar atmospheres. A powerful technique for helioseismic inversion followed from the realization by Duvall (1982) that the observed frequencies satisfied a simple relation with radial order and degree. Gough (1984c) demonstrated that this followed naturally from the asymptotic properties of high-order acoustic modes, relating the observed behaviour to the sound-speed profile in the Sun. He also showed that as a result the solar internal equatorial rotation rate could be simply inferred from the observed frequencies. Furthermore, Douglas developed a similar procedure allowing the determination of the sound speed of the solar interior without recourse to a solar model (Christensen-Dalsgaard *et al.* 1985). A similar asymptotic inversion technique was developed in geophysics (*e.g.* Brodskii & Levshin 1977). This type of analysis, extended to include higher-order asymptotic effects, remains a very useful technique for inversion, and furthermore has provided valuable insight into the relations between the properties of the solar interior and the frequencies (see also Gough 1986, 1993).

1.7 On the detection of subphotospheric convective velocities and temperature fluctuations

Global-mode analysis of the sun's oscillations is not well suited to detecting subsurface structures – such as convective cells – that vary in longitude as well as latitude and depth. To first order the global modes sense only the longitudinally averaged, north-south symmetric average of the internal structure. In recent years a battery of so-called local helioseismic techniques have been developed that use analyses of the wavefield in a local region of the solar surface to probe such subsurface variation. One such technique is ring analysis (Hill 1988, Morrow 1988). The method is being used to map subsurface flows and their temporal variation (see Toomre, this volume) and wavespeed variations due to magnetic and thermal perturbations. This is achieved by inferring from the surface observations the local dispersion relation of the p-mode waves under patches of the visible disk. The methodology was proposed and laid out in a paper by Gough & Toomre (1983), wherein the possibility of using local perturbations of the dispersion relation of p modes to detect subphotospheric convective velocities and thermal fluctuations was put forward and kernels relating the perturbations to thermal fluctuations and flows were developed.† Further development of

† Interestingly, the early inference by Deubner, Rhodes & Ulrich (1979) of solar internal rotation based on the high-degree five-minute oscillations was formally similar, although expressed in terms of rotation rather than local flow fields.

the analysis was reported by Hill, Gough & Toomre (1984), who carried out inversions to infer the radial variations of subsurface velocity and detected possible evidence for temporal variations tentatively identified as the effect of giant convective cells.

Ring analysis, like other local techniques, has only really come into its own in the second half of the 1990s with the availability of high quality, high-degree data from the MDI instrument on the SOHO spacecraft and the high-resolution ground-based Taiwanese Oscillation Network (TON) and GONG. Douglas has continued to contribute to the development of the method as a practical technique through his collaborations with Toomre, Haber, Hill and colleagues. Another local technique which has been very successful at producing maps of subsurface flows and wavespeed anomalies due to thermal fluctuations and magnetic fields is time-distance helioseismology, introduced by Duvall *et al.* (1993). Analogous to travel-time tomography in geoseismology, the subphotospheric structure and flows are deduced from the travel times of waves propagating through the subsurface region. The travel times are inferred from cross-correlating the oscillatory signal at different points or regions of the sun's surface. Some of the results of this approach are discussed in the chapter on 'telechronohelioseismology' by Kosovichev. Although these are probably the most developed local methods, others are being developed and applied. One such is acoustic holography (Lindsey & Braun 1997), which *inter alia* was used by Lindsey & Braun (2000) to obtain the first images of active regions on the far side of the sun before they were carried by rotation onto the visible disk. Over a number of years, Douglas has worked on another method that seeks to exploit the phase distortion experienced by waves propagating through a locally inhomogeneous medium (Gough, Merryfield & Toomre 1991; Julien, Gough & Toomre 1995a,b). The approach has a mathematical elegance and has been demonstrated with success in 1-D problems; but on a 2-D surface the interference between waves propagating in nearly parallel directions introduces additional complication, and the method has not as yet been applied successfully to solar data.

The results of local helioseismology present intriguing glimpses of the complex subsurface structure and dynamics in the Sun, including what Toomre has denoted the 'subsurface weather'. Studies of this nature will be a focus for the upcoming Solar Dynamics Observatory, to be launched by NASA in 2007. In parallel with the instrumental developments more detailed investigations of the diagnostic capabilities of local helioseismology have been initiated (*e.g.* Birch *et al.* 2001). In spite of the successes, there is a need for further development of the forward problem in local helioseismology, in order to understand the effects of inhomogeneities and flows on the prop-

agation of waves in the solar interior and the way that these effects are reflected in the observations. This directly affects the interpretation of the results of local helioseismology. There are ongoing efforts by Gough, Toomre and collaborators to model the effects of shearing flows on ring analysis; in time-distance helioseismology, there has been recent work by Gizon & Birch (2002) (see also references therein).

1.8 Prospects for asteroseismic inference

The early successes of helioseismology, including the results based on low-degree modes from observations of the Sun in disk-averaged light as one would obtain for a distant star, immediately raised the prospect of similar *asteroseismic* investigations of other stars (for an etymological discussion of the term ‘asteroseismology’, see Gough 1996b). Although the results of such investigations are unavoidably less detailed than in the solar case, this is compensated for by the possibility of studying a broad range of stellar types, with physical effects that are not found in the Sun. An important example are the properties of convective cores, which are found in main-sequence stars of masses only slightly higher than solar. The stochastic excitation of solar oscillations by convection, strongly supported for example by the statistical analysis by Chang & Gough (1998), makes it very likely that similar oscillations should be present in stars with vigorous near-surface convection. Also, asteroseismic investigations are possible in the many other classes of stars that show rich spectra of oscillations, such as several types of white dwarfs (*e.g.* Winget 1988, Kawaler & Bradley 1994).

Early observations of stellar oscillation spectra similar to the low-degree five-minute spectrum of the sun were discussed by Gough (1985). In particular, he considered the almost uniform frequency separation deduced from the observations, characteristic of high-order acoustic modes, and noted that this provided constraints on the stellar radii. Two of these stars, including the solar near-twin α Cen A, were so similar to the sun that solar-like oscillations of low amplitude might be expected; however, it is probably fair to say that the claimed detections, which for α Cen A implied a radius in apparent conflict with other data on the star, may have been spurious.

The third case, reported by Kurtz & Seeman (1983), was an early example of the class of so-called *rapidly oscillating Ap stars* (see Cunha, this volume), which are substantially hotter than the Sun; the properties of the oscillations of these stars are closely linked to the large-scale magnetic field in the stars. Further investigations of the star discussed by Kurtz & Seeman, HR 1217, have shown striking departure from the nearly uniform frequency spacing.

Cunha & Gough (2000) carried out a detailed analysis of the effects of the magnetic field on the oscillation frequencies. On this basis Cunha (2001) reconsidered the expected properties of HR 1217, predicting the presence of an additional mode until then unobserved, which would account for the apparent anomalies of the spectrum. Remarkably, this prediction has now been confirmed by Kurtz *et al.* (2002) from an extended series of observations of the star. This indicates the prospects for extending asteroseismic investigations to include detailed studies of effects of magnetic fields.

Much interest continues to centre on studies of solar-like oscillations. The broad-band nature of the stochastic excitation leads to expected spectra of oscillations where most of the low-degree modes in a range of frequencies are likely present, greatly simplifying the task of identifying the modes.† Furthermore, early experience with solar oscillations has led to a good understanding of the diagnostic capabilities of their frequencies. Gough (1987) reviewed the use of observed frequencies to constrain the properties of main-sequence stars, particularly their age, emphasizing the need to supplement the asteroseismic data with other, more traditional observations. More detailed analyses of the prospects for age determination were provided by, for example, Gough & Novotny (1990). Gough (2002), emphasizing the great prospects for obtaining information about stellar properties from asteroseismology, nevertheless introduced some remarks of realism in reaction to previous possibly exaggerated claims.

The main difficulty in observing solar-like oscillations in main-sequence stars are their expected very low amplitudes, below 1 m s^{-1} in radial velocity and a few parts per million in photometry. Thus, despite intensive efforts, the claimed detections have until recently been somewhat doubtful. This has changed dramatically in the last few years, with the development of very stable and efficient spectrographic techniques aimed at the detection of extra-solar planets. A clear detection of solar-like oscillations in the star β Hydri was reported by Bedding *et al.* (2001); Gough (2001) noted that this could be regarded as the true beginnings of asteroseismology for solar-like stars. Data of even higher quality were obtained by Bouchy & Carrier (2001) for α Cen A, while Frandsen *et al.* (2002) found clear solar-like oscillations in the red giant ξ Hydrae, with periods of a few hours. Although these investigations have been limited to bright stars, data of similar or better quality for a broad range of stars can be expected when the HARPS instrument

† In contrast, the amplitude limitation mechanism, still inadequately understood, for opacity-driven pulsators leads to only a modest selection of the unstable modes reaching observable amplitudes; this greatly complicates the interpretation of data on such stars. See Dziembowski (this volume).

(Queloz *et al.* 2001) enters operation in 2003 on the 3.6-m telescope of the European Southern Observatory.

The potential of space-based asteroseismology in terms of continuity and absence of atmospheric noise was recognized early (*e.g.* Mangeney & Praderie 1984; Gough 1985), leading to a substantial number of mission proposals (see Roxburgh 2002). Two proposals to the European Space Agency for substantial asteroseismic missions reached Phase A studies: PRISMA (Appourchaux *et al.* 1993) and STARS (Badiali *et al.* 1996) but failed to be selected; the projects were rated highly, but it was recommended to secure the maturity of the field by means of smaller precursor projects. Such projects are now being developed, at the national level: the Canadian MOST mission (Matthews 1998) to be launched in the spring of 2003, as well as the French-led COROT mission (Baglin *et al.* 2002) and the Danish-led Rømer mission (Christensen-Dalsgaard 2002), both with launch planned for 2005. Also, in May 2002 ESA finally selected the far more ambitious Eddington mission (Favata 2002), to carry out asteroseismology on a large number of stars and search for extra-solar planets, with a launch no later than 2008.

Given the advances in ground-based instrumentation and the upcoming space missions, the prospects for asteroseismology are indeed excellent. We have no doubt that Douglas will continue his seminal contributions, making the fullest use of these new opportunities, with emphasis on the application of astrophysical data to the better understanding of astrophysical fluid dynamics and other deep and difficult physical issues.

Acknowledgements. This seems an appropriate place to record our deep gratitude to Douglas for the invaluable inspiration that he has provided us, as well as the general community, as a teacher and colleague, as well as for his friendship. The present review has been supported in part by the Danish National Research Foundation, through the establishment of the Theoretical Astrophysics Center. We are grateful to Werner Däppen for his careful reading of and comments on an earlier version.

References

- Ahmad, Q. R., *et al.*, 2001, *PRL*, **87**, 071301
Ahmad, Q. R., *et al.*, 2002, *PRL*, **89**, 011301
Appourchaux, T., *et al.*, 1993, *PRISMA: Probing rotation and interior of stars: microvariability and activity*, *ESA Report on Phase-A Study SCI(93)3*, ESTEC, Noordwijk
Appourchaux, T., *et al.*, 2000, *ApJ*, **538**, 401
Backus, G. & Gilbert, F., 1968, *Geophys. J. R. astr. Soc.*, **16**, 169

- Badiali, M., *et al.*, 1996, *STARS: Seismic telescope for astrophysical research from space*, *ESA Report on Phase-A Study D/SCI(96)4*, ESTEC, Noordwijk
- Baglin, A., Auvergne, M., Barge, P., Buey, J.-T., Catala, C., Michel, E., Weiss, W., and the COROT Team, 2002, in Favata, F., Roxburgh, I. W. & Galadí-Enríquez, D., eds, *ESA SP-485, Proc. 1st Eddington Workshop, 'Stellar Structure and Habitable Planet Finding'*, ESA Publications Division, Noordwijk, The Netherlands, p. 17
- Bahcall, J. N., Bahcall, N. A. & Shaviv, G., 1968, *PRL*, **20**, 1209
- Baker, N. H. & Gough, D. O., 1979, *ApJ*, **234**, 232
- Balmforth, N. J., 1992, *MNRAS*, **255**, 603
- Balmforth, N. J. & Gough, D. O., 1990, *Solar Phys.*, **128**, 161
- Balogh, A., Bonnet, R. M., Delache, P., Fröhlich, C. & Harvey, C. C. (DISCO Science Team), 1981, *DISCO Re-assessment Study*, ESA Sci(81)6, European Space Agency, Paris.
- Bastin, J. A. & Gough, D. O., 1969, *Icarus*, **11**, 289
- Bedding, T. R., Butler, R. P., Kjeldsen, H., Baldry, I. K., O'Toole, S. J., Tinney, C. G., Marcey, G. W., Kienzle, F. & Carrier, F., 2001, *ApJ*, **549**, L105
- Berthomieu, G., Cooper, A. J., Gough, D. O., Osaki, Y., Provost, J. & Rocca, A., 1980, in Hill, H. A. & Dziembowski, W., eds, *Lecture Notes in Physics*, **125**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 307
- Birch, A. C., Kosovichev, A. G., Price, G. H. & Schlottmann, R. B., 2001, *ApJ*, **561**, L229
- Böhm-Vitense, E., 1958, *Z. Astrophys.*, **46**, 108
- Bonnet, R. M., Crommelynck, D., Delaboudinière, J. P., Delache, P., Fossat, E., Fröhlich, C., Gough, D., Grec, E., Simon, P. & Thuillier, G. (DISCO Science Team), 1981, *DISCO Assessment Study*, ESA Sci(81)3, European Space Agency, Paris.
- Bos, R. J. & Hill, H. A., 1983, *Solar Phys.*, **82**, 89
- Bouchy, F. & Carrier, F., 2001, *A&A*, **374**, L5
- Boury, A., Gabriel, M., Noels, A., Scuflaire, R. & Ledoux, P., 1975, *A&A*, **41**, 279
- Brodskii, M. A. & Levshin, A., 1977, *Dodl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, **233**, 312
- Brookes, J. R., Isaak, G. R. & van der Raay, H. B., 1976, *Nature*, **259**, 92
- Brown, T. M. & Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., 1998, *ApJ*, **500**, L195
- Chang, H.-Y. & Gough, D. O., 1998, *Solar Phys.*, **181**, 251
- Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., 2002, in Favata, F., Roxburgh, I. W. & Galadí-Enríquez, D., eds, *ESA SP-485, Proc. 1st Eddington Workshop, 'Stellar Structure and Habitable Planet Finding'*, ESA Publications Division, Noordwijk, The Netherlands, p. 25
- Christensen-Dalsgaard, J. & Gough, D. O., 1976, *Nature*, **259**, 89
- Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Cooper, A. J. & Gough, D. O., 1983, *MNRAS*, **203**, 165
- Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Dilke, F. W. W. & Gough, D. O., 1974, *MNRAS*, **169**, 429
- Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Duvall, T. L., Gough, D. O., Harvey, J. W. & Rhodes Jr, E. J., 1985, *Nature*, **315**, 378
- Cooper, A. J., 1981, *PhD Dissertation*, University of Cambridge.
- Cunha, M. S., 2001, *MNRAS*, **325**, 373
- Cunha, M. S. & Gough, D., 2000, *MNRAS*, **319**, 1020
- Cuypers, J. 1980, *A&A*, **89**, 207
- Däppen, W., 1983, *A&A*, **124**, 11

- Davis, R., Harmer, D. S. & Hoffman, K. C., 1968, *PRL*, **20**, 1205
- Deubner, F.-L., 1975, *A&A*, **44**, 371
- Deubner, F.-L., Ulrich, R. K. & Rhodes, E. J., 1979, *A&A*, **72**, 177
- Dicke, R. H., 1970, in Slettebak, A., ed., *Proc. IAU Colloq. on Stellar Rotation*, Reidel, Dordrecht, p. 289
- Dicke, R. H., 1978, *Nature*, **276**, 676
- Dilke, F. W. W. & Gough, D. O., 1972, *Nature*, **240**, 262
- Duvall, T. L., 1982, *Nature*, **300**, 242
- Duvall, T. L., Jefferies, S. M., Harvey, J. W. & Pomerantz, M. A., 1993, *Nature*, **362**, 430
- Dziembowski, W., 1983, *Solar Phys.*, **82**, 259
- Ezer, D. & Cameron, A. G. W., 1968, *Astrophys. Lett.*, **1**, 177
- Favata, F., 2002, in Favata, F., Roxburgh, I. W. & Galadí-Enríquez, D., eds, ESA SP-485, *Proc. 1st Eddington Workshop, 'Stellar Structure and Habitable Planet Finding'*, ESA Publications Division, Noordwijk, The Netherlands, p. 3
- Frandsen, S., Carrier, F., Aerts, C., Stello, D., Maas, T., Burnet, M., Bruntt, H., Teixeira, T. C., de Medeiros, J. R., Bouchy, F., Kjeldsen, H., Pijpers, F. & Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., 2002, *A&A*, **394**, L5.
- Frazier, E. N., 1968, *Z. Astrophys.*, **68**, 345
- Gavryusev, V., Gavryuseva, E., Delache, Ph. & Laclare, F. 1994, *A&A*, **286**, 305
- Gizon, L. & Birch, A. C., 2002, *ApJ*, **571**, 966
- Gough, D. O., 1965, *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics II*, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, p. 49
- Gough, D. O., 1969, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **26**, 448
- Gough, D. O., 1977a, *ApJ*, **214**, 196
- Gough, D. O., 1977b, in Spiegel, E. A. & Zahn, J.-P., eds, *Problems of stellar convection*, *IAU Colloq. No. 38, Lecture Notes in Physics*, **71**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 15
- Gough, D. O., 1977c, in Bonnet, R. M. & Delache, P., eds, *Proc. IAU Colloq. No. 36: The energy balance and hydrodynamics of the solar chromosphere and corona*, G. de Bussac, Clairmont-Ferrand, p. 3
- Gough, D. O., 1978a, In *Pleins feux sur la physique solaire. Proc. 2me Assemblée Européenne de Physique Solaire*, CNRS, Paris, p. 81
- Gough, D. O., 1978b, in Belvedere, G. & Paterno, L., eds, *Proc. Workshop on solar rotation*, University of Catania Press, p. 255
- Gough, D. O., 1981a, in Sofia, S., ed., NASA Conf. Publ. 2191, *Variations in the Solar Constant*, Washington, p. 185
- Gough, D. O., 1981b, *MNRAS*, **196**, 731
- Gough, D. O., 1982, *Nature*, **298**, 334
- Gough, D. O., 1984a, *Mem. Soc. Astron. Ital.*, **55**, 13
- Gough, D. O., 1984b, in Ulrich, R. K., Harvey, J., Rhodes, E. J. & Toomre, J., eds, *Solar seismology from space*, NASA, JPL Publ. 84 – 84, p. 49
- Gough, D. O., 1984c, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, **313**, 27
- Gough, D., 1985, *Nature*, 314, 14
- Gough, D. O., 1986, in Osaki, Y., ed., *Hydrodynamic and magnetohydrodynamic problems in the Sun and stars*, Department of Astronomy, University of Tokyo, p. 117
- Gough, D. O., 1987, *Nature*, **326**, 257

- Gough, D. O., 1993, in Zahn, J.-P. & Zinn-Justin, J., eds, *Astrophysical fluid dynamics, Les Houches Session XLVII*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, p. 399
- Gough, D. O., 1996a, in Jacobsen, B. H., Moosgaard, K. & Sibani, P., eds, *Inverse methods, Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences*, **63**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 1
- Gough, D. O., 1996b, *Observatory*, **116**, 313
- Gough, D., 2001, *Science*, **291**, 2325
- Gough, D. O., 2002, in Favata, F., Roxburgh, I. W. & Galadí-Enríquez, D., eds, ESA SP-485, *Proc. 1st Eddington Workshop, 'Stellar Structure and Habitable Planet Finding'*, ESA Publications Division, Noordwijk, The Netherlands, p. 65
- Gough, D. O. & Lynden-Bell, D., 1968, *JFM*, **32**, 437
- Gough, D. O. & Novotny, E., 1990, *Solar Phys.*, **128**, 143
- Gough, D. & Parkinson, J., 1983, *New Scientist*, **98**, 882.
- Gough, D. O. & Spiegel, E. A., 1977, in Spiegel, E. A. & Zahn, J.-P., eds, *Problems of stellar convection, IAU Colloq. No. 38, Lecture Notes in Physics*, **71**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 57
- Gough, D. O. & Tayler, R. J., 1966, *MNRAS*, **133**, 85
- Gough, D. O. & Toomre, J., 1983, *Solar Phys.*, **82**, 401
- Gough, D. O., Merryfield, W. J. & Toomre, J., 1991, in Gough, D. O. & Toomre, J., eds, *Challenges to theories of the structure of moderate-mass stars, Lecture Notes in Physics*, **388**, Springer, Heidelberg, p. 265
- Gough, D. O., Ostriker, J. P. & Stobie, R. S., 1965, *ApJ*, **142**, 1649
- Gough, D. O., Pringle, J. E. & Spiegel, E. A., 1976, *Nature*, **264**, 424
- Gough, D. O., Spiegel, E. A. & Toomre, J., 1975, *JFM*, **68**, 695
- Hansen, C. J., Cox, J. P. & van Horn, H. M., 1977, *ApJ*, **217**, 151
- Hill, F., 1988, *ApJ*, **333**, 996
- Hill, F., Gough, D. & Toomre, J., 1984, *Mem. Soc. Astron. Ital.*, **55**, 153
- Hill, H. A., Stebbins, R. T. & Brown, T. M., 1976, in Sanders, J. H. & Wapstra, A. H., eds, *Atomic Masses and Fundamental Constants*, **5** Plenum Press, p. 622
- Houdek, G., 2000, in Breger, M. & Montgomery, M. H., eds, *Delta Scuti and related stars*, ASP Conference Series, **210**, San Francisco, p. 454
- Iben, I. & Mahaffy, J., 1976, *ApJ*, **209**, L39
- Julien, K. A., Gough, D. O. & Toomre, J., 1995a, in Hoeksema, J. T., Domingo, V., Fleck, B. & Battrick, B., eds, ESA SP-376, *Proc. Fourth SOHO Workshop: Helioseismology*, vol. 2, ESA Publications Division, Noordwijk, The Netherlands, p. 155
- Julien, K. A., Gough, D. O. & Toomre, J., 1995b, in Ulrich, R. K., Rhodes Jr, E. J. & Däppen, W., eds, *Proc. GONG'94: Helio- and Astero-seismology from Earth and Space*, ASP Conf. Ser., **76**, San Francisco, p. 196
- Kawaler, S. D. & Bradley, P. A., 1994, *ApJ*, **427**, 415
- Kurtz, D. W. & Seaman, J., 1983, *MNRAS*, **205**, 11
- Kurtz, D. W., *et al.*, 2002, *MNRAS*, **330**, L57
- Latour, J., Spiegel, E. A., Toomre, J. & Zahn, J.-P., 1976, *ApJ*, **207**, 233
- Ledoux, P., 1951, *ApJ*, **114**, 373
- Leibacher, J. & Stein, R. F., 1971, *Astrophys. Lett.*, **7**, 191
- Leighton, R. B., Noyes, R. W. & Simon, G. W., 1962, *ApJ*, **135**, 474
- Lindsey, C. & Braun, D. C., 1997, *ApJ*, **485**, 895
- Lindsey, C. & Braun, D. C., 2000, *Science*, **287**, 1799

- Lubow, S. H., Rhodes, E. J. & Ulrich, R. K., 1980, in Hill, H. A. & Dziembowski, W., eds, *Lecture Notes in Physics*, **125**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 300
- Mangeny, A. & Praderie, F., (eds), 1984, *Space Research Prospects in Stellar Activity and Variability*, Paris Observatory Press.
- Matthews, J. M., 1998, in Korzennik, S. G. & Wilson, A., eds, ESA SP-418, *Structure and dynamics of the interior of the Sun and Sun-like stars; Proc. SOHO 6/GONG 98 Workshop*, ESA Publications Division, Noordwijk, The Netherlands, p. 395
- Merryfield, W. J., Toomre, J. & Gough, D. O., 1990, *ApJ*, **353**, 678
- Merryfield, W. J., Toomre, J. & Gough, D. O., 1991, *ApJ*, **367**, 658
- Morrow, C. A., 1988, *PhD Dissertation*, University of Colorado and National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder.
- Noël, F., 1997, *A&A*, **325**, 825
- Pap, J. M. & Fröhlich, C., 1999, *J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys.*, **61**, 15
- Parkinson, J. H., Morrison, L. V. & Stephenson, F. R., 1980, *Nature*, **288**, 548
- Pijpers, F. P., 1998, *MNRAS*, **297**, L76
- Queloz, D., *et al.*, 2001, *ESO Messenger*, No. 105, 1
- Rhodes, E. J., Ulrich, R. K. & Simon, G. W., 1977, *ApJ*, **218**, 901
- Rouse, C. A., 1977, *A&A*, **55**, 477
- Roxburgh, I. W., 2001, *A&A*, **377**, 688
- Roxburgh, I. W., 2002, in Favata, F., Roxburgh, I. W. & Galadí-Enríquez, D., eds, ESA SP-485, *Proc. 1st Eddington Workshop, 'Stellar Structure and Habitable Planet Finding'*, ESA Publications Division, Noordwijk, The Netherlands, p. 11
- Scuffaire, R., Gabriel, M., Noels, A. & Boury, A., 1975, *A&A*, **45**, 15
- Severny, A. B., Kotov, V. A. & Tsap, T. T., 1976, *Nature*, **259**, 87
- Shibahashi, H., Osaki, Y. & Unno, W., 1975, *PASJ*, **27**, 401
- Spiegel, E. A., 1963, *ApJ*, **138**, 216
- Toomre, J., Gough, D. O. & Spiegel, E. A., 1977, *JFM*, **79**, 1
- Toomre, J., Zahn, J.-P., Latour, J. & Spiegel, E. A., 1976, *ApJ*, **207**, 545
- Ulrich, R. K., 1970, *ApJ*, **162**, 993
- Ulrich, R. K. & Rhodes, E. J., 1977, *ApJ*, **218**, 521
- Willson, R. C. & Hudson, H. S., 1991, *Nature*, **351**, 42
- Winget, D. E., 1988, in Christensen-Dalsgaard, J. & Frandsen, S., eds, *Proc. IAU Symposium No 123, Advances in helio- and asteroseismology*, Reidel, Dordrecht, p. 305
- Wolff, C. L., 1972, *ApJ*, **176**, 833

