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Introduction

I

The fame of Francisco Sanches (1551–1623), the Portuguese philosopher and doctor who held chairs in philosophy and in medicine at the University of Toulouse, rests mainly on the success of one book, the Quod nihil scitur, published in Lyons in 1581. His contemporaries considered him to be a great sceptic and he was known widely as “Sanchez le sceptique”. In the seventeenth century his advocacy of an extreme form of scepticism prompted a Dutch opponent of Descartes, the Utrecht theologian and philosopher Martin Schoock, and the German theologian Gabriel Wedderkopff, to place Sanches on a long list of the most dangerous enemies of the Christian religion, among whom were included the illustrious Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa and Agrippa von Nettesheim.1 Wedderkopff went so far as to call Sanches the “most ruinous of the Sceptics”. Another German theologian, Daniel Hartnack, thought

1 Martin Schoock (Schoockius), in his De scepticismo pars prior, sive libri quatuor (Groningae: ex officina Henrici Lussindi, 1652), examines the history of scepticism as part of his continuing campaign to discredit Descartes. In his review of modern sceptics he writes: “Ulterius ex recentioribus progressus est Cardannis Crescentius in libro de docta ignorantia: ac prae alis Franciscus Sanchez in opusculo, quod inscriptit de multum nobili et prima universali scientia, quod nihil scitur” (p. 69). Sanches is mentioned frequently in the work, as are Agrippa and Gassendi. The Frankfurt 1618 edition of the Quod nihil scitur first brought Sanches to the attention of Schoock and Voetius, who had already attacked Descartes in their Admiranda methodus novae philosophiae Renati Des Cartes (Ultrajecti: ex officina J. Van Waesbergae, 1643). Gabriel Wedderkopff refers to Schoock’s work in his Dissertationes duae quarum prior de Scepticismo profano et sacro praeclupe remonstrantium, posterior de Atheismo praeprime Socionianorum (Argentorati: ex officina Josiae Staedelii, 1665) and includes Cardinal Cusa as a member of the Pyrrhonian sect together with “praeceterhis Franciscum Sanchez, cujus opusculum de multum nobili et prima universali scientia, quod nihil scitur, extat, quo in strenuum se collapsi ac iamdudum profligati Scepticismi restauratorem praebet” (p. 7).
it necessary to publish an entire refutation of Sanches under the title *Sanchez aliquid scien*s (Stettin, 1665), attacking each paragraph of the *Quod nihil scitur* with copious references and quotations from the works of all the philosophers who had previously examined the possibility and non-possibility of knowledge, and justifying his own position doctrinally by referring to Saint Augustine. Sanches’s reputation as a sceptic lingered even as late as the eighteenth century, Pierre Bayle saying of him in his *Dictionnaire historique et critique*, “C’etoit un grand Pyrrhonien”.

Although Sanches’s treatise on epistemology attacking Aristotle and his adherents was well known in the late Renaissance and the seventeenth century, running into six editions between 1581 and 1665, his fame suffered an eclipse as the debate over Pyrrhonism quietened down. Montaigne, Pascal, and Descartes emerged as the major French thinkers who had written on philosophical scepticism, leaving Sanches in relative obscurity. It was not until the beginning of this century that European scholars began to research extensively the life and works of this most interesting figure of the late French Renaissance whose importance as a precursor of Descartes has yet to be acknowledged fully.

---

2 Daniel Hartnack’s commentary in his *Sanchez aliquid scien*s, *h.e. in Francisci Sanchez . . .* (Stetini: apud Jeremiam Mamphrasium, 1665) was prompted by his first reading the *Quod nihil scitur* in the 1649 Rotterdam edition.

3 See the article on Sanches, François, in the *Dictionnaire historique et critique par Mr Pierre Bayle*, troisième édition (Rotterdam: Michel Bohm, 1720).

4 Among early studies on Sanches the following are important: Ludwig Gerk-Rath, *Franz Sanchez. Ein Beitrag zur Geschichte der philosophischen Bewegungen im Anfange der neueren Zeit* (Vienna: Wilhelm Braumüller, 1860); John Owen, *The Skeptics of the French Renaissance* (London: Swan Sonnenschein, 1893); the many articles of H.P. Cazac in the early part of the century and his extensive documentation on Sanches, which is now housed in the archives of the Institut Catholique de Toulouse; the first full-length study of Sanches by Emilien Senchet in his *Essai sur la méthode de Francisco Sanchez* (Paris: V. Giard & E. Briere, 1904); the lively chapter on Sanches in Fortunat Strowski’s *Montaigne* (Paris: Félix Alcan, 1906); the critical essay, “De los Orígenes del Criticismo y del Escepticismo” by Menéndez y Pelayo in his *Ensayos de Crítica Filosófica*, vol. XLIII of *Edición nacional de las obras completas de Menéndez y Pelayo* (Santander: Aldus, S. A. de Artes Gráficas, 1948); finally, the many studies of Portuguese scholars, notably the critical editions of Joaquim de Carvalho, *Francisco Sanches*. 
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of Sanches in Richard Popkin’s masterly survey *The History of Scepticism from Erasmus to Spinoza*, interest has grown among English-speaking scholars in the *Quod nihil scitur*. Perhaps Sanches will now be considered a unique representative of the philosopher-physician whose scientific background led him to question the very foundations of the Aristotelian structure of knowledge. A true sceptic in the profoundest sense of the term, that of an enquirer, Sanches preferred to terminate most of his philosophical and medical treatises with the question “*Quid?*” a symbol of his tireless quest for the truth and which his disciple Delassus, in the preface to the 1636 Toulouse edition of the complete works of Sanches, states that he affixed like an obelisk to his treatises. Now, an obelisk indicates in a classical text that a word or passage is totally uncertain or incomprehensible. Sanches questioned not only the works of others but also his own, since he believed that perfect knowledge is impossible and man can only reach an approximation of the truth. As a sceptic he did not believe in mathematical certainty: approximation was the only possible resolution of his particular form of scepticism.

The present critical edition and translation of the text of the *Quod nihil scitur* endeavours to situate Sanches in the history of the revival of Greek scepticism in France during the sixteenth century and to shed light on the close relationship between philosophy and

---


6 See Francisco Sanchez, *Opera medica. His iuncti sunt tractatus quidam philosophici non insubiles* (Tolosae tectosagum: apud Petrum Bosc, 1636), p. 3r. Delassus states: “Nam alieni operis se censorum exhibuit, impulsus sacro veritatis amore, nec plus suis quam aliorum operibus detulit, propriis enim non pepercit, omnibus siquidem tractatibus in umbilico vel extremo apice notam illam Quid? ceu obeliscum affixit”.

3
Francisco Sanches

medicine which existed in the universities. No more convincing demonstration of this alliance between philosophy and medicine can be offered than the distinguished career of Sanches himself at the University of Toulouse, where he was renowned for his contributions to both disciplines and enjoyed the honour of serving as regius professor in both faculties.

II

Francisco Sanches (Franciscus Sanchez) was probably born on July 16, 1551, in Túy, a city of northwestern Spain, situated on the right bank of the river Minho, opposite Valença do Minho in Portuguese Galicia.¹ Túy belonged to the Portuguese diocese of Braga and, according to the civil register of Braga, Sanches was

¹ There is much debate among scholars concerning the Portuguese or Spanish origins of Sanches (Sánchez), which reflects, I believe, the indiscriminate use of the adjectives “Lusitanus” and “Hispanus” in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. In the life of Sanches, written by his disciple Delassus at the request of Sanches’s sons Guillaume and Denys, and which prefaces the 1636 Toulouse edition of his Opera medica and the philosophical treatises, it is stated that Sanches was born in Braga: “Bracara Lusitaniae urbs insignis natales nostro praebuat Professori, . . .” (p. c r.). Delassus then relates that, because of the uncertainties of the time, Sanches’s father, Dr. António Sanches, emigrated to Bordeaux, where Sanches continued his studies, with the result that he was more indebted to France than to Spain: “ut plus Gallico caelo debeat quam Hispano” (p. c r.). A similar confusion exists in the official documents in the archives of the universities of Montpellier and Toulouse. In the archives of the faculty of medicine at Montpellier, Registre des Matricules 1573, 21 octobre, fol. 49, v°, Sanches declared: “Ego Franciscus Sanctis Hispanus natus in civitate tudensi interrogatus fui”. Other official documents for the baccalaureate diploma, B.A., and Ph.D. degrees in the Montpellier archives refer to “Franciscus Sanctis, Hispanus, diocensis Bracarensis”. See Evaristo de Moraes Filho, Francisco Sanches Na Renascença Portuguesa (Lisbon: Ministério da Educação e Saúde, 1953), p. 35, for a complete documentation on this issue. In the archives of Toulouse University, however, he is always described as “Franciscus Sanchez Lusitanus”. See Joaquim de Carvalho, Opera philosophica, pp. 161–2. According to documents found by Severiano Tavares, Sanches was really born in Valença do Minho. See his article “Francisco Sanches. O homem”, Revista Portuguesa de Filosofia, 7, fasc. 1 (1951), pp. 118–19. Consequently Sanches’s place of birth is given variously as Túy, Braga, and Valença do Minho.
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baptised there on July 25, 1551, his parents being named in the register as Dr. António Sanches and Filipa de Souza. The family lived in Braga, where Sanches attended the very old and famous school until the age of eleven. In 1562 his father decided, probably because of the severe economic conditions in Portugal and the uncertain political and religious climate, to move the family to Bordeaux, where his brother Adám-Francisco Sanches was in business and had been granted letters of citizenship. Bordeaux had long been a safe haven for the Spanish and Portuguese Jews who had fled the terrors of the Inquisition. The French kings had followed a policy of encouraging foreigners to settle in Bordeaux, since the city had been greatly depopulated following the expulsion of the English in 1454 and the ravages of the plague of 1473, which had brought about a considerable decline in commerce. By an edict of Louis XI in February 1474, foreigners were granted free disposal of their property and the right to pursue commerce without having to take out citizenship papers. In 1550 Henry II had granted letters-patent to all Portuguese merchants and others who were called “New Christians”, enabling them to dwell in any French city they chose and to enjoy all the privileges of French citizens.

It was Guy Patin who started the controversy over Sanches’s religious beliefs by stating in his Patiniana that Sanches was born of Jewish parents. His father, Dr. António Sanches, was a highly

\[\text{\footnotesize{2 The most conclusive evidence concerning Sanches's date of birth, which is usually given as 1550, or 1551, is the registration of his birth in the civil register of Braga. José Machado describes this discovery in the Boletim da Biblioteca Pública e Arquivo Distrital de Braga, 1920, pp. 127–32. Joaquim de Carvalho has pointed out that the ecclesiastical laws of the diocese of Braga required baptism no later than nine days after the birth of a child (Opera philosophica, p. 161).}}\]

\[\text{\footnotesize{3 For Braga's reputation see Jean de Launoy, De scholis celebrioribus, vol. IV of Opera omnia (Lutetiae Parisiorum: typis-viduae E. Martini, 1672).}}\]

\[\text{\footnotesize{4 See Arnaud Detcheverry, Histoire des Israélites de Bordeaux (Bordeaux: Imprimerie de Balarac Jeune, 1850), and Francisque Michel, Histoire du commerce à Bordeaux, 2 vols. (Bordeaux: Imprimerie de J. Delmas, 1866).}}\]
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esteemed physician, and medicine was one of the professions in which Jews had excelled in Spain and Portugal, since it was little practised by Christians. According to Henri Cazac, it is likely that the family of Sanches was closely related to the famous “Marranos” (New Christians) of Aragon who had held distinguished offices at the courts of John I of Aragon and Ferdinand the Catholic until the time of the plot of the Judeós conversós against the Holy Office (1484–6). After the Edictogeneral de Expulsión of March 31, 1492, many Jewish families had sought safety in the French provinces of Guyenne, Languedoc, and Provence. Even the Jewish converts to Christianity felt threatened by the zealous preoccupation with the purity of the blood displayed by the members of the Inquisition. Cazac states that the Sanches family first established itself on the border of Spanish and Portuguese Galicia before emigrating to France in the middle of the sixteenth century. An additional factor in persuading many Portuguese in the liberal professions to leave the country, and to seek their fortune in France, may have been the establishment of the Inquisition in Portugal in 1536, allied to the increasing spiritual domination of the Jesuits at court and their monopoly of teaching in the humanities.

In view of these historical and religious circumstances, many scholars have inclined to the opinion that Francisco Sanches was a “New Christian”. Yet there are no contemporary references to Sanches as a “New Christian”. But, then, neither do Montaigne’s contemporaries refer to his Jewish heritage through his mother, Antoinette de Louppes, a rich descendant of Portuguese Jews, the Lopez family. Moreover, as Thomas Platter points out in his Notes

---

7 See Cazac papers, Boîte II, in the archives of the Institut Catholique de Toulouse.
8 See Américo Castro, Structure of Spanish History, pp. 521–44.
9 See Cazac papers, Boîte II.
Introduction

*de Voyage*, the name of “Marrans” was regarded as an insult and one could be fined for using the term in Montpellier.\(^{11}\) Sanches himself went to great pains to assure his readers of his orthodox Catholic beliefs and habitually ended his philosophical and medical treatises, written during his tenure at the staunchly Catholic University of Toulouse, with the traditional prayer “Laus Deo virginique Mariae”.\(^{12}\) His piety was praised by his disciple De-lassus, and the sincerity of his faith was never doubted by his contemporaries, even during the dark times of religious persecution in the Catholic stronghold of Toulouse.\(^{13}\) It is perhaps indicative of the deep Catholic faith of Sanches that two of his sons became priests.\(^{14}\)

The Collège de Guyenne in Bordeaux, which Sanches attended from 1562 to 1571, was one of the foremost schools in France at that time.\(^{15}\) Founded as a college in 1533 by the municipality of Bordeaux, the Collège de Guyenne during the remarkable administration of André de Gouveia attracted distinguished teachers and scholars such as Mathurin Cordier, George Buchanan, Elie Vinet, and Marc-Antoine Muret. Gouveia, before coming to Bordeaux in 1534, had been the principal of the Collège de Sainte Barbe in Paris, which was a centre for Portuguese students and scholars under the official protection of King Manuel of Portugal.\(^{16}\) As a

---


\(^{12}\) It is interesting that this prayer does not figure at the end of the *Quod nihil scitur*, nor at the end of the *Carmen de cometa anni M.D.LXXVII*, both written and published before Sanches was appointed to the chair of philosophy in 1585 at the highly Catholic University of Toulouse.

\(^{13}\) “Praeterea mirum eius in Deum devotionem, quem tanquam bonorum omnium fontem perpetuum certim restitutae sanitatis authorem indicavit, non possum quin recolam” (*Opera medica*, p. ć 41.).

\(^{14}\) See Senchet, *Essai sur la méthode de Francisco Sanchez*, p. XVI.

\(^{15}\) Senchet, ibid., gives the date of Sanches’s attendance at the Collège de Guyenne as 1562–69.

\(^{16}\) See J. Quicherat, *Histoire de Sainte Barbe* (Paris: Hachette, 1860), I, p. 125. André de Gouveia persuaded Portuguese scholars such as his brother, António, and Jean de Costa to come to Bordeaux from the Collège de Sainte Barbe. Diogo de Teive came to the Collège de Guyenne from Toulouse. The Portu-
consequence of Gouveia’s principalship of the Collège de Guyenne, close links were forged between Bordeaux and Portugal, especially after 1547, when Gouveia was asked by John III of Portugal to return to his native land in order to undertake a new reform of the University of Coimbra. Gouveia was rightly called by one of his most famous pupils, Michel de Montaigne, who attended the college from 1539 to 1546, “le plus grand principal de France”. The Collège de Guyenne became renowned in Portugal for its programme of humanistic studies. Sanches’s father would certainly have heard of the reputation of the Collège de Guyenne from his brother and would have a natural preference for settling in Bordeaux, rather than in other cities of south-west France.

The years that Sanches spent in study at the college were among the most prosperous and successful in its history. Many of the remarks Sanches makes in the Quod nihil scitur about his own education and that of his contemporaries can be better understood if one considers the programme of studies that he followed at the Collège de Guyenne. Fortunately an ample documentation exists, since Elie Vinet, who had followed Gouveia from the Collège de Sainte Barbe to Bordeaux in 1539, and had served both as principal and professor of Greek and mathematics during the years Sanches spent at the college, has described in his Schola Aquitanica the curriculum, timetable, and organisation of the Collège de Guyenne. The primary aim of the college was to form students


17 André de Gouveia invited several professors from the Collège de Guyenne to accompany him to Coimbra, such as George Buchanan, Nicolas de Grouchy, and Guillaume Guérente. Unfortunately, many were denounced to the Inquisition as Lutherans. George Buchanan was thrown into prison and others were banned from teaching in Portugal as a result of Jesuit intrigues. See Theophilo Braga, Renascença, pp. 583–7.
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well versed in Latin: "Latino sermoni cognoscendo haec schola imprimis destinata est". Cicero's letters, orations, and oratorical treatises were the main Latin prose texts used in all classes, according to the level of ability, as models of style. Consequently it was natural for Sanchés to write all his works in Latin, the language of the educated public and of all academics, even though, as he tells his reader in the preface to the Quod nihil scitur, he should not look for an elegant polished style, or verbal trickery, which was Cicero's manner. Indeed, the Latin of Sanchés is deceptively simple: he parodies the rhetorical techniques used by the Scholastics, incorporates the strong vigorous concrete language of his contemporaries into the witty tirades, and constantly moves from elliptical philosophical statements to long discussions of the scientific evidence which negates a particular Aristotelian proof of knowledge, so that at times one has the impression of reading a scientific treatise.

Students entered the Collège de Guyenne usually at the age of seven and finished the ten classes at the age of seventeen. Rhetoric was stressed increasingly during the last four years; students were also taught some elementary Greek through public lectures and, in their final two years, mathematics. As Woodward points out, "The existence of higher groups in philosophy and of public lectures in Greek and mathematics indicates the overlapping of school and university". Indeed, the Collège de Guyenne also offered the first two years of university courses in the faculty of arts, since the University of Bordeaux had a very poor reputation in the arts. Readers in philosophy taught these courses, which consisted mainly of a study of Aristotelian logic in the first year, followed by courses in natural philosophy in the second year, when the principal texts studied were Aristotle's Physica and the

20 Ibid., p. 6.
21 See André Mandouze's preface to the elegant French translation of the Quod nihil scitur by Andrée Comparot, Il n'est science de rien (Paris: Klincksieck, 1984), where he analyses the problems of translating such a difficult Latin text.
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*De caelo.*\(^{23}\) Vinet tells us that students were called *dialecti*, or *logici*, in the first year, and *physici* in the second year. It is more than likely that Sanches followed these courses before going on to the University of Bordeaux to begin his studies in medicine, if one accepts Cazac’s statement that Sanches pursued his studies in Bordeaux to the level of the *maîtrise ès arts*, the equivalent of the modern French baccalaureate, before leaving for Italy in the early spring of 1571.\(^{24}\) A further fact that supports Cazac’s argument is that Sanches himself states in one of his medical works, the *Observationes in praxi*, that discharges from the chest and stomach were to be considered symptoms of serious illness, since he had observed the deaths of his own father and uncle from the flux in January 1571.\(^{25}\) It was probably after these tragic family events that Sanches made his way to Italy, travelling through Languedoc and Provence, then visiting the northern Italian universities of Pisa, Bologna, Padua, Venice, Ferrara, and Florence before finally taking up residence in Rome, where his cousin from Lisbon, Duarte Paulo, lived.\(^{26}\) Sanches’s stay in Italy from 1571 to 1573 was to mark an intellectual turning-point in his life, for it was there that he first came into contact with the new interpretations of Galen’s *Ars medica*, in which the question of method was a primary issue and the whole Aristotelian theory of demonstration was challenged.\(^{27}\) It was also in Italy that he was introduced to the

\(^{23}\) Woodward, ibid., pp. 139–54, gives a very useful account of the history of the Collège de Guyenne and of its programmes, based on Elie Vinet’s *Schola Aquitanica*, which was first published in 1583. Vinet gives the following list of texts for philosophy: Porphyry, *Introduction*; Grouchy, *Préceptes*; Aristotle, *Categories*; *Peri Hermeneias*, *Prior Analytics* and *Posterior Analytics*, *De sophisticis elenches*, *Physica*, *De caelo*. These texts were those normally prescribed for the university arts course.

\(^{24}\) See Cazac papers, Boîte II.

\(^{25}\) Sanches writes in his *Observationes in Praxi* in *Opera medica* (p. 368): “Secundum est, in morbis thoracis, alvi fluxum in malis habendum esse; quod nos etiam in Antonio Sanchez, parente nostro colendissimo, medicinae professore eximio, Patruoque Adamo Francisco observavimus”.

\(^{26}\) “Erat autem haec uxor Domini Duarte Paulo Viliponensis qui Romae habitabat nobis consangineus, ubi nos cam vidimus” (ibid. p. 366).
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new medical advances in the realms of anatomy and physiology by the pupils of Vesalius, Colombo, and Fallopio.\textsuperscript{28}

The union between medicine and philosophy, which Sanches often referred to in his works as being ultimately desirable, was, in the late mediaeval and Renaissance periods, a reality in the Italian universities, where the Galenic tradition was held in honour.\textsuperscript{29} As Charles Schmitt has pointed out, arts and medical faculties were combined in the Italian universities, and "the statutes established a curriculum in which logic and philosophy were considered as a propaedeutic to medical studies proper".\textsuperscript{30} It was not unusual to find famous scholars teaching courses in both philosophy and medicine.\textsuperscript{31} The philosophical basis of medicine was to provide the theoretical approach, or scientific method, by which progress could be furthered in the practice of medicine. Thus it is likely that the initial reflections of Sanches on the problem of scientific method were directly inspired by his medical studies in Italy, where a much broader approach to medicine prevailed than in France.\textsuperscript{32}


\textsuperscript{28} See Salvatore Miccoli, Francesco Sanchez (Bari: Tipografia Levante, 1965), p. 13.

\textsuperscript{29} Sanches writes in his philosophical treatise, De longitudine et brevitate vitae liber in Opera medica (p. 14): "Ultimo denique, ut Medicinam Philosophiae conjungamus, qua maxime ratione vita hominis produci possit, generalibus quibusdam praecipientis docebimus".


\textsuperscript{31} The archives of Padua University show that many famous humanists taught both philosophy and medicine. See Antonio Riccoboni, De gymnasio patarino (Patavii: apud Franciscum Bolzetam, 1598), pp. 11–51, who names the following professors of philosophy and medicine: Caetanus Thieren's Vicetinus (author of many commentaries on Aristotle), Christophorus Ricinensis, Petrus Rocabonella Venetus, and Bernardinus Paternus, to mention but a few philosopher–physicians who taught at Padua.

\textsuperscript{32} The best studies on the history of medicine at the University of Montpellier are Louis Dulieu, La Médecine à Montpellier, 2 vols. (Avignon: Les Presses
Francisco Sanches

Sanches studied for two years at the famous college of La Sapienza in Rome. Renazzi writes in his history of Rome University that, after the first wave of enthusiasm for Plato and his doctrines, which Cardinal Bessarion had greatly encouraged, scholars were won over completely to Aristotelianism by the new translations from the Greek of Aristotle’s works. Henceforth Aristotelian philosophy was to dominate the teaching at Rome University until the end of the seventeenth century, and most of the professors spent their time emending or commenting Aristotle’s works. Medical studies, which had fallen into disrepute during the fifteenth century, when medicine and astronomy were taught conjointly, flourished anew during the pontificate of Leo VI, and medicine became a discipline in its own right. Pope Paul III was bent on restoring the former glory of Rome University; during his pontificate the teaching of medicine was greatly enhanced by the founding of the chair of theoretical and practical medicine and the schools of anatomy and botany. Scholars came from near and far to study medicine in Rome, which was known for its progressive medical curriculum. The study of natural history, botany, and anatomy was incorporated into medical studies. Rome became the first Italian city to have a botanical garden in which students could study rare and exotic plants. The works of Aristotle, Dioscorides, and other Greek writers on the nature of things were studied in depth and commented on in detail. Pliny’s Natural History was diligently corrected, supplemented, and illustrated. This work of correction, which was stimulated by the publication of the original Greek texts of Aristotle, was entirely necessary, since many errors had crept into the Latin translations of Greek texts made by Arab physicians and philosophers, with sometimes dangerous uses of

Universelles, 1975), and Roland Antonioli, Rabelais et la Médecine (Geneva: Droz, 1976).

Filippo Maria Renazzi, Storia dell’Università degli Studi di Roma detta comunemente La Sapienza (Rome: Nella Stamperia Pagliarini, 1803), I, p. 164. Renazzi attributes the revival of Aristotelianism in Rome to the influence of Theodorus Gaza, who was invited to Rome by Pope Nicholas V in 1450, and was chiefly engaged in translating Aristotle and other Greek authors into Latin.

Ibid., II, p. 107.
the wrong drugs being recommended. Niccolò Leoniceno, whose works are often referred to by Sanches, was one of the first medical humanists to criticise the fanciful botany of the Arabs in his *De Plinii et aliorum medicorum erroribus liber* (Ferrara, 1509).

In the field of anatomy spectacular developments had occurred which led to its being considered fundamental for the study of medicine and surgery. In 1572 Sanches, as a student at La Sapienza, listened to lectures from Bartolommeo Eustachio, remembered today for his description of the canal leading from the pharynx to the cavity of the middle ear, but who was a leading anatomist in his own day, renowned for his treatises on the movement of the heart and the arteries, the structure and function of the kidneys, and his many new discoveries of the function of valves in the veins. Yet Eustachio declared that he would never teach anything contrary to the principles of Galen, when much of Galen’s teachings on anatomy had manifestly been demonstrated by Vesalius to be wrong.35 Costanzo Varolio, a brilliant young scholar who succeeded Realdo Colombo in the chair of anatomy, did much to improve the teaching of surgery and published extensively. His *Epistolae medicinales* and treatise on the *De origine nervorum opticorum* made him one of the luminaries of medical science in Italy until his untimely death in 1575. The noted Andreas Bacci, who was appointed to the chair of botany in 1567, lectured on natural history. Arcangelo Piccolomini, who as a young man had studied philosophy in Bordeaux, was appointed to Rome University in 1569 and later held two chairs, one in practical medicine and the other in anatomy. Before coming to Rome the brilliant Piccolomini had already published a Greek-Latin edition of Galen’s *De humoribus* in Paris (1556), accompanying it with his own weighty commentary. Girolamo Cardano, the famous mathematician, physician, and astrologer, whose *De rerum varietate* (1557) was attacked by Sanches in his commentary on the *De divinatione per somnum, ad Aristotellem*, came to Rome in 1571 after being deprived of his professorship at the University of Bologna; according to Renazzi, he was admitted to the Collegio de’ Medici and given a papal

pension which enabled him to write his autobiography. Sanches called Cardano "vero nostri saeculi et Philosophus et Medicus doctissimus" in his comments in the *De divinatione per somnum, ad Aristotelem*. It was in Rome, too, that Sanches met and probably studied under the famous Jesuit mathematician Clavius, with whom he later corresponded from Toulouse concerning problems he had encountered in studying Euclid.

Rome, then, constitutes in the biography of Sanches one of the most important and exciting intellectual periods of his life. Rome's superiority in the field of medical studies was due to the belief that the observation of nature, and of all natural phenomena, was of primary importance, and this was demonstrated by the new research carried out in the fields of anatomy and botany, and the related discipline of the pharmacological uses of plants. The critical spirit, which animated much of the work of the medical humanists in the Italian universities in editing and commenting on the standard scientific texts of Aristotle and Galen, was continued by their disciples who taught at Rome University. The revolt against dogmatism in medical circles led to the discovery that progress in medicine was possible if one examined nature, free from the fetters of authority and prejudice. Sanches was to learn in Rome that a free enquiry into the nature of man and the physical world offered the promise of continuing progress in *scientia*, as opposed to *perfecta cognitio*. Medical empirical knowledge was to furnish a way out of the philosopher's sceptical dilemma. It is noteworthy that Sanches did not break with the past in medicine, as he did in philosophy: his commentaries on Galen in his *Opera medica* show his indebtedness to his precursors, and his final medical treatise bore the significant title *Summa Anatomica Libris quatuor*.

37 See Sanches, *De divinatione per somnum, ad Aristotelem* in *Opera philosophica*, ed. Carvalho, p. 94. See also p. 99.
39 Sanches displays a wide knowledge of the medicinal uses of plants and was particularly interested in antidotes to poisons. See his *Pharmacopoeia* and *De theriaca ad pharmacopoeos liber* in *Opera medica*, pp. 417–514.
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in qua breviter omnium corporis partium situs, numerus, substantia, usus, et figura continetur; ex GALENO, et ANDREA VESALIO, collecta. Additae sunt etiam Annotationes quibus COLUMBI et FALLOPII repugnantia cum GALENO et VESALIO continentur, et inter se.40

In 1573 Sanches returned to France and enrolled in the famous faculty of medicine at the University of Montpellier on October 21, completing the requirements for the doctorate on July 13, 1574. His rapid passage through the faculty of medicine at Montpellier has been commented on only briefly by scholars, and yet it illustrates the importance of his medical studies in Italy both in his professional formation as a doctor and later in his philosophical career. The length of studies at Montpellier depended on whether the prospective medical student possessed a maîtrise ès arts from a reputable university, since this was a prerequisite for entry into the faculty of medicine.41 Presumably Sanches possessed a maîtrise ès arts from Bordeaux, as Cazac has stated, and so he was allowed to enrol in the faculty. Medical studies leading to the licence normally lasted three years and comprised two and a half years of formal studies in the faculty, followed by a six months' practicum in the surrounding area.42 Some students, as was the case for Sanches, who had already taken courses in medicine at another recognised university, were allowed to proceed immediately to the Baccalauréat exams. Students were required to have a sponsor (parrain), who was a member of the teaching faculty of medicine at Montpellier. Sanches was sponsored by François Feynes, who held the chair of medicine.43 The medical archives at Montpellier reveal that Sanches passed the Baccalauréat exams on November 23, 1573, just a month after his arrival in Montpellier. He was required to

40 See Opera medica, p. 827. The translation of the title is: A compendium of anatomy in four books which contains succinctly the place, number, substance, function, and form of all parts of the body, drawn from the works of Galen and Andreas Vesalius. With additional notes also containing the criticisms made by Colombo and Fallopio of Galen and Vesalius, and of each other.
41 The statutes of Montpellier University from 1240 onwards specified this requirement. See Dulieu, La Médecine à Montpellier, I, p. 37, and II, p. 56.
42 Ibid., II, p. 63.
43 François Feynes held the chair of medicine, Régence I, from 1563 to 1574.